



# Optimal control of the time-periodic MHD equations

Max Gunzburger, Catalin Trenchea\*

*School of Computational Science and Information Technology, Florida State University, 400 Dirac Science  
Library, Tallahassee, FL 32306-4120, USA*

---

## Abstract

We consider the mathematical formulation and analysis of an optimal control problem associated with the tracking of the velocity and the magnetic field of a viscous, incompressible, electrically conducting fluid in a bounded two-dimensional domain through the adjustment of distributed controls. © 2005 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

*Keywords:* Magnetohydrodynamic equations; Optimal control

---

## 1. Introduction

The paper studies the optimal control problem: minimize

$$\frac{1}{2} \int_Q (|\nabla(u(x, t) - u^\bullet(x, t))|^2 + |\text{curl}(B(x, t) - B^\bullet(x, t))|^2 + \ell(|\psi_1(x, t)|^2 + |\psi_2(x, t)|^2)) dt dx \quad (1.1)$$

over  $\psi_1, \psi_2, u, B \in (L^2(Q))^2$  subject to the nondimensional magnetohydrodynamic equations (MHD equations) for a viscous incompressible resistive fluid (see [5,6])

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + (u \cdot \nabla)u - \frac{1}{Re} \Delta u + \nabla p + S \nabla \left( \frac{1}{2} B^2 \right) - S(B \cdot \nabla)B = f_0 + \psi_1 \quad \text{in } \Omega \times R,$$

---

\* Corresponding author.

*E-mail address:* [trenchea@csit.fsu.edu](mailto:trenchea@csit.fsu.edu) (C. Trenchea).

$$\frac{\partial B}{\partial t} + (u \cdot \nabla)B - (B \cdot \nabla)u + \frac{1}{Rm} \text{c\~{u}rl}(\text{curl } B) = \psi_2 \quad \text{in } \Omega \times R, \tag{1.2}$$

$$\text{div } u = 0, \text{ div } B = 0 \text{ in } \Omega \times R,$$

$$u = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega \times R, \quad B \cdot n = 0 \text{ and } \text{curl } B = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega \times R,$$

$$u(x, 0) = u(x, T), \quad B(x, 0) = B(x, T) \quad \forall (x, t) \in \Omega \times R.$$

Here  $Q = \Omega \times (0, T)$ ,  $\Omega$  is an open bounded simply connected subset of  $R^2$  with smooth boundary  $\partial\Omega$ ,  $f_0$  is a  $T$ -periodic (nondimensional) volume density force,  $u = (u_1(x, t), u_2(x, t))$  is the velocity of the particle of fluid which is at point  $x$  at time  $t$ ,  $B = (B_1(x, t), B_2(x, t))$  is the magnetic field at point  $x$  at time  $t$ ,  $p = p(x, t)$  stands for the pressure of the fluid while  $\psi_1, \psi_2 \in L^2_{\text{loc}}(R; L^2(\Omega))$  are divergence free  $T$ -periodic inputs, and  $u^\bullet, B^\bullet \in L^2_{\text{loc}}(R; H^1(\Omega))$  are the  $T$ -periodic reference velocity and magnetic field, respectively. The nondimensional quantities  $p, u, B$  correspond to the normalization by reference units denoted by  $L_*, T_*, U_* = L_*/T_*, B_*$ , for lengths, times, velocities, and magnetic fields. There are three nondimensional numbers in the equation which represent the Reynolds number  $Re = L_*u_*/\nu$  (where  $\nu$  is the kinematic viscosity), the magnetic Reynolds number  $Rm = L_*u_*\sigma\mu$  (where  $\mu$  is the magnetic permeability and  $\sigma$  the conductivity of the fluid, assumed to be constant),  $S = M^2/ReRm = B_*^2/\mu\rho_*u_*^2$  (where  $M$  is the Hartman number) and  $\ell > 0$ . We recall the definitions of the curl and c\~{u}rl operators in 2-dimensions

$$\text{curl } u = \frac{\partial u_2}{\partial x_1} - \frac{\partial u_1}{\partial x_2} \text{ for every vector } u = (u_1, u_2),$$

$$\text{c\~{u}rl } \phi = \left( \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x_2}, -\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x_1} \right) \text{ for every scalar function } \phi$$

and the following formula:

$$\text{c\~{u}rl curl } u = \text{grad div } u - \Delta u. \tag{1.3}$$

## 2. Weak formulation and existence

Let us briefly recall the way we can represent the MHD equations (1.2) as an infinite-dimensional equation (see [6,8,2]). The spaces used are a combination of spaces for the Navier–Stokes equations (denoted with subscript 1) and spaces used in the theory of Maxwell equations (denoted with subscript 2). They are

$$\mathcal{V}_1 = \{v \in (\mathcal{C}_0^\infty(\Omega))^2, \text{ div } v = 0\},$$

$$V_1 = \{v \in \mathbb{H}_0^1(\Omega), \text{ div } v = 0\} \text{ (the closure of } \mathcal{V}_1 \text{ in } \mathbb{H}_0^1(\Omega) = (H_0^1(\Omega))^2\},$$

$$H_1 = \{v \in \mathbb{L}^2(\Omega), \text{ div } v = 0 \text{ and } v \cdot n|_{\partial\Omega} = 0\} \text{ (the closure of } \mathcal{V}_1 \text{ in } \mathbb{L}^2(\Omega) = (L^2(\Omega))^2\},$$

$$\mathcal{V}_2 = \{C \in (\mathcal{C}^\infty(\bar{\Omega}))^2, \text{ div } C = 0 \text{ and } C \cdot n|_{\partial\Omega} = 0\},$$

$$V_2 = \{v \in \mathbb{H}^1(\Omega), \operatorname{div} C = 0 \text{ and } C \cdot n|_{\partial\Omega} = 0\} \text{ (the closure of } \mathcal{V}_2 \text{ in } \mathbb{H}^1(\Omega) \\ = (H^1(\Omega))^2),$$

$$H_2 = (\text{the closure of } \mathcal{V}_2 \text{ in } \mathbb{L}^2(\Omega)) = H_1.$$

The space  $V_1$  is endowed with the scalar product

$$((u, v))_1 = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq 2} \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i}, \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_i} \right) = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq 2} \int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \cdot \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_i} \, dx,$$

which is the scalar product on  $\mathbb{H}_0^1(\Omega)$ . The dual space of  $V_1$  is characterized by (see [8])

$$V'_1 = \{v \in \mathbb{H}^{-1}(\Omega), \operatorname{div} v = 0\}.$$

The space  $V_2$  is endowed with the scalar product

$$((u, v))_2 = (\operatorname{curl} u, \operatorname{curl} v)$$

which is equivalent to the usual scalar product induced by  $\mathbb{H}^1(\Omega)$  on  $V_2$ . We set (see [8])

$$V = V_1 \times V_2, \quad H = H_1 \times H_2, \quad V' \text{ the dual space of } V,$$

and by identifying  $H$  with its own dual we have  $V \subset H \subset V'$ . The space  $H$  will be endowed with the following scalar products:

$$(\Phi, \Psi) = (u, v) + (B, C) \text{ for all } \Phi = (u, B), \Psi = (v, C) \in H,$$

$$[\Phi, \Psi] = (u, v) + S(B, C)$$

and the induced (equivalent) norms

$$|\Phi| = (\Phi, \Phi)^{1/2}, \quad [\Phi] = [\Phi, \Phi]^{1/2}.$$

The space  $V$  will be endowed with three scalar products

$$((\Phi, \Psi)) = \frac{1}{Re}((u, v))_1 + \frac{1}{Rm}((B, C))_2,$$

$$[[\Phi, \Psi]] = \frac{1}{Re}((u, v))_1 + \frac{S}{Rm}((B, C))_2,$$

$$((\Phi, \Phi))_J = ((u, v))_1 + ((B, C))_2$$

and the equivalent norms

$$\|\Phi\| = ((\Phi, \Phi))^{1/2}, \quad [[\Phi]] = [[\Phi, \Phi]]^{1/2}, \quad \|\Phi\|_J = ((\Phi, \Phi))_J^{1/2}.$$

Let  $\mathcal{A}_1 \in L(V_1, V'_1)$ ,  $\mathcal{A}_2 \in L(V_2, V'_2)$ ,  $\mathcal{A} \in L(V, V')$ ,  $\mathcal{A}_J \in L(V, V')$  be defined by

$$\langle \mathcal{A}_1 u, v \rangle = ((u, v))_1 \text{ for all } u, v \in V_1,$$

$$\langle \mathcal{A}_2 B, C \rangle = ((B, C))_2 \text{ for all } B, C \in V_2,$$

$$\langle \mathcal{A} \Phi, \Psi \rangle = ((\Phi, \Psi)), \langle \mathcal{A}_J \Phi, \Psi \rangle = ((\Phi, \Psi))_J \text{ for all } \Phi, \Psi \in V.$$

As in [8] we consider  $\mathcal{A}_1 \in L(V_1, V'_1)$ ,  $\mathcal{A}_2 \in L(V_2, V'_2)$ ,  $\mathcal{A} \in L(V, V')$  as unbounded operators on  $H_1, H_2, H$ , for which the domains are

$$D(\mathcal{A}_1) = \{u \in V_1, \mathcal{A}_1 u \in H_1\} = \mathbb{H}^2(\Omega) \cap V_1,$$

$$D(\mathcal{A}_2) = \{B \in V_2, \mathcal{A}_2 B \in H_2\} = \mathbb{H}^2(\Omega) \cap V_2,$$

$$D(\mathcal{A}) = D(\mathcal{A}_2) \times D(\mathcal{A}_2) = (\mathbb{H}^2(\Omega))^2 \cap V.$$

Let  $b : \mathbb{L}^1(\Omega) \times \mathbf{W}^{1,1}(\Omega) \times \mathbb{L}^1(\Omega) \rightarrow R$  be defined by

$$b(u, v, w) = \sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq 2} \int_{\Omega} u_i D_i v_j w_j$$

whenever the integrals make sense. We recall that, for  $m_i \geq 0$  satisfying  $m_1 + m_2 + m_3 > 1$  or  $m_1 + m_2 + m_3 = 1$  where at least two  $m_i$  are nonzero, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |b(u, v, w)| &\leq c_1 |u|_{H^{m_1}} |v|_{H^{m_2+1}} |w|_{H^{m_3}}, \\ \forall(u, v, w) &\in \mathbb{H}^{m_1}(\Omega) \times \mathbb{H}^{m_2+1}(\Omega) \times \mathbb{H}^{m_3}(\Omega). \end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

For  $m_1 = m_3 = 1, m_2 = 0$  we find that the trilinear form  $b$  is continuous on  $(\mathbb{H}^1(\Omega))^3$  and satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} b(u, v, v) &= 0, \quad \forall u \in V_{\alpha} \ (\alpha = 1, 2), \quad \forall v \in \mathbb{H}^1(\Omega), \\ b(u, v, w) &= -b(u, w, v), \quad \forall u \in V_{\alpha}, \quad \forall v, w \in \mathbb{H}^1(\Omega). \end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

We also define the trilinear form  $\mathcal{B}_0 : V \times V \times V \rightarrow R$  by setting

$$\mathcal{B}_0(\Phi_1, \Phi_2, \Phi_3) = b(u_1, u_2, u_3) - Sb(B_1, B_2, u_3) + b(u_1, B_2, B_3) - b(B_1, u_2, B_3)$$

for all  $\Phi_i = (u_i, B_i) \in V$ , and the bilinear continuous operator  $\mathcal{B} : V \times V \rightarrow V'$

$$\langle \mathcal{B}(\Phi_1, \Phi_2), \Phi_3 \rangle = \mathcal{B}_0(\Phi_1, \Phi_2, \Phi_3) \quad \forall \Phi_i \in V.$$

From (2.1) we get

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{B}_0(\Phi_1, \Phi_2, \Phi_3)| &\leq c_2 \max(1, S) |\Phi_1|_{H^{m_1}} |\Phi_2|_{H^{m_2+1}} |\Phi_3|_{H^{m_3}}, \\ \forall(\Phi_1, \Phi_2, \Phi_3) &\in \mathbb{H}^{m_1}(\Omega) \times \mathbb{H}^{m_2+1}(\Omega) \times \mathbb{H}^{m_3}(\Omega). \end{aligned} \tag{2.3}$$

This yields for  $m_1 = m_2 = 1/2, m_3 = 0$  that

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{B}_0(\Phi_1, \Phi_2, \Phi_3)| &\leq c_3 (|\Phi_1| \|\Phi_1\| \|\Phi_2\| |\mathcal{A}\Phi_2|)^{1/2} |\Phi_3|, \\ \forall(\Phi_1, \Phi_2, \Phi_3) &\in V \times D(\mathcal{A}) \times H, \end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

where  $c_3 = c_3(\Omega, S, Re, Rm)$ . Let  $M \in M_4(R)$  denote the diagonal matrix

$$m_{ii} = 1 \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq 2, \quad m_{ii} = S \text{ for } 3 \leq i \leq 4. \tag{2.5}$$

From (2.1) and the identity

$$\mathcal{B}_0(\Phi_1, \Phi_2, M\Phi_2) = b(u_1, u_2, u_2) + Sb(u_1, B_2, B_2) - S(b(B_1, B_2, u_2) + b(B_1, u_2, B_2))$$

we finally get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{B}_0(\Phi_1, \Phi_2, M\Phi_2) &= 0 \quad \forall \Phi_1, \Phi_2 \in V, \\ \mathcal{B}_0(\Phi_1, \Phi_2, M\Phi_3) &= -\mathcal{B}_0(\Phi_1, \Phi_3, M\Phi_2) \quad \forall \Phi_i \in V. \end{aligned} \tag{2.6}$$

Let  $f(t) = P(f_0(t), 0)$ ,  $\Psi(t) = P(\psi_1(t), \psi_2(t))$  where  $P : (\mathbb{L}^2(\Omega))^2 \rightarrow H$  is the projection on  $H$ . Then we rewrite the state equation (1.2) as

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\Phi}{dt}(t) + \mathcal{A}\Phi(t) + \mathcal{B}(\Phi(t), \Phi(t)) &= f(t) + \Psi(t), \quad t \in (0, T), \\ \Phi(0) &= \Phi(T) \end{aligned} \tag{2.7}$$

and confine to the strong solutions  $\Phi \in L^2(0, T; D(\mathcal{A})) \cap W^{1,2}([0, T]; H)$ .

Assume that  $\Phi^\bullet = (u^\bullet, B^\bullet) \in L^2(0, T; V)$ . Then we may reformulate problem (1.1) as

$$\text{Minimize } J(\Phi, \Psi) = \int_0^T \left( \frac{1}{2} \|\Phi(t) - \Phi^\bullet(t)\|_J^2 + \frac{\ell}{2} |\Psi(t)|^2 \right) dt (P)$$

over  $(\Phi, \Psi) \in (L^2(0, T; D(\mathcal{A})) \cap W^{1,2}([0, T]; H)) \times L^2(0, T; H)$  subject to (2.7).

**Theorem 2.1.** *There is at least one solution  $(\Phi^*, \Psi^*)$  to problem (P).*

**Proof.** Let  $\{\Phi_n, \Psi_n\}$  be a minimizing sequence in problem (P), i.e.,

$$\inf (P) \leq J(\Phi_n, \Psi_n) \leq \inf (P) + \frac{1}{n}, \tag{2.8}$$

$$\Phi'_n + \mathcal{A}\Phi_n + \mathcal{B}(\Phi_n, \Phi_n) = f + \Psi_n, \quad \text{a.e. } t \in (0, T); \quad \Phi_n(0) = \Phi_n(T). \tag{2.9}$$

By (2.8) it follows that  $\{\Phi_n\}$  is bounded in  $L^2(0, T; V)$ ,  $\{\Psi_n\}$  is bounded in  $L^2(0, T; H)$  and therefore on a subsequence, again denoted by  $n$ , we have

$$\Psi_n \rightarrow \Psi^* \text{ weakly in } L^2(0, T; H).$$

If we multiply (2.9) by  $tM\Phi_n$ , integrate on  $\Omega$  we get by (2.6) that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} (t[\Phi_n(t)]^2) - \frac{1}{2} [\Phi_n(t)]^2 + t\llbracket \Phi_n(t) \rrbracket^2 \\ = t[f(t) + \Psi_n(t), \Phi_n(t)], \quad \text{a.e. } t \in (0, T). \end{aligned} \tag{2.10}$$

This yields

$$t[\Phi_n(t)]^2 + \int_0^t \tau\llbracket \Phi_n(s) \rrbracket^2 ds \leq C, \quad \forall t \in [0, T]$$

and therefore

$$[\Phi_n(0)] = [\Phi_n(T)] \leq C, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Here  $C$  denotes several positive constants independent of  $\Phi$  and  $n$ . Next we multiply (2.9) by  $t \mathcal{A} \phi_n$  and obtain after some calculus involving Young’s inequality and (2.4) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \left( t \|\Phi_n(t)\|^2 \right) - \frac{1}{2} \|\Phi_n(t)\|^2 + t |\mathcal{A} \Phi_n(t)|^2 \\ &= (f(t) + \Phi_n(t), t \mathcal{A} \Phi_n) - \mathcal{B}_0(\Phi_n(t), \Phi_n(t), t \mathcal{A} \Phi_n(t)) \\ &\leq \frac{t}{4} |\mathcal{A} \Phi_n(t)|^2 + t |f(t) + \Phi_n(t)|^2 + t c_3 |\Phi_n(t)|^{1/2} \|\Phi_n(t)\| |\mathcal{A} \Phi_n|^{3/2} \\ &\leq \frac{t}{2} |\mathcal{A} \Phi_n(t)|^2 + t C |\Phi_n(t)|^2 \|\Phi_n(t)\|^4 + t |f(t) + \Phi_n(t)|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Now integrating on  $(0, t)$  and using the above estimates we get

$$t \|\Phi_n(t)\|^2 + \int_0^t s |\mathcal{A} \Phi_n(s)|^2 ds \leq C \int_0^t (1 + s \|\Phi_n(t)\|^4) ds$$

which by Grönwall’s lemma gives

$$t \|\Phi_n(t)\|^2 \leq C, \quad \forall t \in (0, T].$$

Since  $\Phi_n(0) = \Phi_n(T)$  we infer that  $\|\Phi_n(0)\| \leq C$ . Finally, multiplying (2.9) by  $\mathcal{A} \Phi_n$  and integrating on  $\Omega \times (0, t)$  we obtain as above

$$\|\Phi_n(t)\|^2 + \int_0^t |\mathcal{A} \Phi_n(s)|^2 ds \leq C \left( \|\Phi_n(0)\|^2 + \int_0^t \|\Phi_n(s)\|^4 ds \right)$$

and therefore

$$\|\Phi_n(t)\|^2 + \int_0^t |\mathcal{A} \Phi_n(s)|^2 ds \leq C, \quad \forall t \in [0, T].$$

This yields

$$\|\Phi'_n\|_{L^2(0,T;H)} + \|\mathcal{B}(\Phi_n, \Phi_n)\|_{L^2(0,T;H)} \leq C.$$

Since  $V \subset\subset H$  we infer that  $\{\Phi_n\}$  is compact in  $C([0, T]; H) \cap L^2(0, T; V)$  and on subsequences we have

$$\Phi_n \rightarrow \Phi^* \text{ strongly in } L^2(0, T; V) \cap C([0, T]; H),$$

$$\mathcal{A} \Phi_n \rightarrow \mathcal{A} \Phi^* \text{ weakly in } L^2(0, T; H),$$

$$\Phi'_n \rightarrow (\Phi^*)' \text{ weakly in } L^2(0, T; H).$$

By (2.4) we have

$$\begin{aligned} & |(\mathcal{B}(\Phi_n, \Phi_n) - \mathcal{B}(\Phi^*, \Phi^*), \Phi)| \\ &\leq |\mathcal{B}_0(\Phi_n - \Phi^*, \Phi_n, \Phi)| + |\mathcal{B}_0(\Phi^*, \Phi_n - \Phi^*, \Phi)| \\ &\leq C \|\Phi_n - \Phi^*\|^{1/2} (|\Phi_n - \Phi^*|^{1/2} \|\Phi_n\|^{1/2} |\mathcal{A} \Phi_n|^{1/2} \\ &\quad + |\Phi^*|^{1/2} \|\Phi^*\|^{1/2} |\mathcal{A}(\Phi_n - \Phi^*)|^{1/2}) |\Phi| \end{aligned} \tag{2.11}$$

for all  $\Phi \in H$ , and therefore

$$\mathcal{B}(\Phi_n, \Phi_n) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\Phi^*, \Phi^*) \text{ strongly in } L^2(0, T; H).$$

Letting  $n$  go to  $\infty$  in (2.8), (2.9) we see that  $(\Phi^*, \Psi^*)$  satisfies system (2.7) and  $J(\Phi^*, \Psi^*) = \inf(P)$ .

### 3. Optimality conditions

Let  $(\Phi^*, \Psi^*)$  be an optimal pair in problem  $(P)$ . For each  $\varepsilon > 0$  consider the approximating problem: minimize

$$\int_0^T \left( \frac{1}{2} \|\Phi - \Phi^\bullet\|_J^2 + \frac{\ell}{2} |\Psi|^2 + \frac{1}{2\varepsilon} |\xi|^2 \right) dt (P_\varepsilon)$$

over  $\Phi \in L^2(0, T; D(\mathcal{A})) \cap W^{1,2}([0, T]; H)$ ,  $\Psi, \xi \in L^2(0, T; H)$  subject to

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi'(t) + \mathcal{A}\Phi(t) + \mathcal{B}(\Phi(t), \Phi(t)) &= f(t) + \Psi(t) + \xi(t), \quad t \in (0, T); \\ \Phi(0) &= \Phi(T). \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

By Theorem 2.1 for each  $\varepsilon > 0$  problem  $(P_\varepsilon)$  has at least one solution  $(\Phi_\varepsilon, \Psi_\varepsilon, \xi_\varepsilon)$ .

**Lemma 3.1.** For  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_\varepsilon &\rightarrow \Phi^* \text{ strongly in } L^2(0, T; V) \cap C([0, T]; H), \\ \Phi'_\varepsilon &\rightarrow (\Phi^*)', \quad \mathcal{A}\Phi_\varepsilon \rightarrow \mathcal{A}\Phi^* \text{ weakly in } L^2(0, T; H), \\ \Psi_\varepsilon &\rightarrow \Psi^*, \quad \varepsilon^{-1/2} \xi_\varepsilon \rightarrow 0 \text{ weakly in } L^2(0, T; H), \\ \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \left\{ \inf_{\Phi_\varepsilon, \Psi_\varepsilon, \xi_\varepsilon} (P_\varepsilon) \right\} &= \inf_{\Phi, \Psi} (P). \end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

**Proof.** By taking  $(\Phi, \Psi, \xi) = (\Phi^*, \Psi^*, 0)$  in  $(P_\varepsilon)$  we get

$$\inf_{\Phi, \Psi, \xi} (P_\varepsilon) \leq \int_0^T \left( \frac{1}{2} \|\Phi^* - \Phi^\bullet\|_J^2 + \frac{\ell}{2} |\Psi^*|^2 \right) dt \equiv \inf_{\Phi, \Psi} (P).$$

If multiply (3.1) with  $M\Phi_\varepsilon, tM\Phi_\varepsilon$  and integrate on  $(0, T), (0, t)$  respectively, we get by (2.4), (2.6) that

$$t[\Phi_\varepsilon(t)]^2 + \int_0^T [[\Phi_\varepsilon(t)]]^2 dt \leq C.$$

Now if we multiply (3.1) by  $t\mathcal{A}\Phi_\varepsilon$ , integrate on  $(0, t)$ , we see as above that

$$t\|\Phi_\varepsilon(t)\|^2 \leq C, \quad \forall t \in (0, T]$$

and therefore

$$\|\Phi_\varepsilon(0)\| = \|\Phi_\varepsilon(T)\| \leq C, \quad \forall \varepsilon > 0.$$

When we multiply (3.1) by  $\mathcal{A}\Phi_\varepsilon$  we obtain

$$\|\Phi_\varepsilon(t)\|^2 + \int_0^t |\mathcal{A}\Phi_\varepsilon(s)|^2 ds \leq C, \quad \forall t \in [0, T]$$

and from (3.1) we have that

$$\|\Phi'_\varepsilon\|_{L^2(0,T;H)} + \|\mathcal{B}(\Phi_\varepsilon, \Phi_\varepsilon)\|_{L^2(0,T;H)} \leq C, \quad \forall \varepsilon > 0.$$

Hence on a subsequence we have

$$\Phi_\varepsilon \rightarrow \bar{\Phi} \quad \text{strongly in } C([0, T]; H) \cap L^2(0, T; V)$$

$$\Phi'_\varepsilon \rightarrow \bar{\Phi}', \quad \mathcal{A}\Phi_\varepsilon \rightarrow \mathcal{A}\bar{\Phi} \quad \text{weakly in } L^2(0, T; H)$$

$$\Psi_\varepsilon \rightarrow \bar{\Psi}, \quad \zeta_\varepsilon \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{weakly in } L^2(0, T; H).$$

On the other hand, by (2.11) we see that

$$\mathcal{B}(\Phi_\varepsilon, \Phi_\varepsilon) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\bar{\Phi}, \bar{\Phi}) \quad \text{strongly in } L^2(0, T; H)$$

and therefore  $(\bar{\Phi}, \bar{\Psi})$  is a solution to the state system (2.7).

Finally, taking the limit in  $(P_\varepsilon)$ , by the weak lower semicontinuity of the  $H$ -norm we obtain that

$$\inf_{\Phi, \Psi} (P) \leq \int_0^T \left( \frac{1}{2} \|\bar{\Phi} - \Phi^\bullet\|_J^2 + \frac{\ell}{2} |\bar{\Psi}|^2 \right) dt \leq \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \left\{ \inf_{\Phi, \Psi, \zeta} (P_\varepsilon) \right\}$$

hence  $\bar{\Phi} = \Phi^*$ ,  $\bar{\Psi} = \Psi^*$  and the conclusions of Lemma 3.1 follow.  $\square$

In the space  $L^2(0, T; H)$  we define the operators (see [1])

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{A}_\varepsilon \phi &= \phi' + \mathcal{A}\phi + \mathcal{B}(\Phi_\varepsilon, \phi) + \mathcal{B}(\phi, \Phi_\varepsilon), \quad \forall \phi \in D(\mathfrak{A}_\varepsilon) = X, \\ \mathfrak{A}_\varepsilon^* \phi &= -\phi' + \mathcal{A}\phi + \mathcal{B}_0(\Phi_\varepsilon, \cdot, \phi) + \mathcal{B}_0(\cdot, \Phi_\varepsilon, \phi), \quad \forall \phi \in X, \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

where

$$X = \{\phi \in W^{1,2}([0, T]; H) \cap L^2(0, T; D(\mathcal{A})), \phi(0) = \phi(T)\}.$$

It is easily seen that

$$\int_0^T (\mathfrak{A}_\varepsilon^* \gamma, \phi) dt = \int_0^T (\mathfrak{A}_\varepsilon \phi, \gamma) dt, \quad \forall \phi, \gamma \in D(\mathfrak{A}_\varepsilon) = D(\mathfrak{A}_\varepsilon^*) = X.$$

The operators  $\mathfrak{A}$  and  $\mathfrak{A}^*$  are defined by the same formulae (3.3) where  $\Phi_\varepsilon = \Phi^*$ .



**Lemma 3.2.** *The operators  $\mathfrak{A}_\varepsilon, \mathfrak{A}_\varepsilon^*, \mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{A}^*$  are closed, densely defined and have closed ranges in  $L^2(0, T; H)$ . Moreover,  $\dim N(\mathfrak{A}_\varepsilon), \dim N(\mathfrak{A}_\varepsilon^*) \leq n_0$ , independent of  $\varepsilon$ ,  $\mathfrak{A}_\varepsilon^*$  is the adjoint of  $\mathfrak{A}_\varepsilon$  and the following estimates hold:*

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathfrak{A}_\varepsilon^{-1}g\|_{L^2(0,T;D(\mathcal{A}))\cap W^{1,2}([0,T];H)} &\leq C\|g\|_{L^2(0,T;H)}, \quad \forall g \in R(\mathfrak{A}_\varepsilon), \\ \|(\mathfrak{A}_\varepsilon^*)^{-1}g\|_{L^2(0,T;D(\mathcal{A}))\cap W^{1,2}([0,T];H)} &\leq C\|g\|_{L^2(0,T;H)}, \quad \forall g \in R(\mathfrak{A}_\varepsilon^*). \end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

Similarly, the operators  $\mathfrak{A}^*, \mathfrak{A}$  are mutually adjoint and estimates (3.4) remain true for  $\mathfrak{A}^*, \mathfrak{A}$ .

We have used the symbols  $N$  and  $R$  to denote the null space and the range of the corresponding operators. (For hints on the proof see the case of Navier–Stokes equations in [1]).

For  $\lambda \in R, \Phi \in X, \Psi \in L^2(0, T; H)$  we set

$$\zeta^\lambda = (\Phi_\varepsilon + \lambda\Phi)' + \mathcal{A}(\Phi_\varepsilon + \lambda\Phi) + \mathcal{B}(\Phi_\varepsilon + \lambda\Phi, \Phi_\varepsilon + \lambda\Phi) - (f + \Psi_\varepsilon + \lambda\Psi).$$

We may write  $\zeta^\lambda$  as

$$\zeta^\lambda = \zeta_\varepsilon + \lambda(\Phi' + \mathcal{A}\Phi + \mathcal{B}(\Phi_\varepsilon, \Phi) + \mathcal{B}(\Phi, \Phi_\varepsilon) + \lambda\mathcal{B}(\Phi, \Phi) - \Psi)$$

and so by the optimality of  $(\Phi_\varepsilon, \Psi_\varepsilon, \zeta_\varepsilon)$  in  $(P_\varepsilon)$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^T \left( ((\Phi_\varepsilon - \Phi^\bullet, \Phi))_J + \ell(\Psi_\varepsilon, \Psi) + \frac{1}{\varepsilon}(\zeta_\varepsilon, \Phi' + \mathcal{A}\Phi + \mathcal{B}(\Phi_\varepsilon, \Phi) \right. \\ \left. + \mathcal{B}(\Phi, \Phi_\varepsilon) - \Psi) \right) dt \geq 0 \end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

for all  $\phi \in X, \Psi \in L^2(0, T; H)$ . We set  $q_\varepsilon = \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\zeta_\varepsilon$ , and for  $\Psi = 0$  we get from above

$$\int_0^T ((\Phi_\varepsilon - \Phi^\bullet, \Phi))_J + (q_\varepsilon, \mathfrak{A}_\varepsilon\Phi) dt = 0.$$

Hence  $q_\varepsilon \in D(\mathfrak{A}_\varepsilon^*)$  and

$$\mathfrak{A}_\varepsilon^*q_\varepsilon = -\mathcal{A}_J(\Phi_\varepsilon - \Phi^\bullet). \tag{3.6}$$

Therefore by (3.5) we obtain

$$\Psi_\varepsilon = \frac{1}{\ell}q_\varepsilon \text{ a.e. in } (0, T). \tag{3.7}$$

Then by Lemma 3.1 it follows that

$$\|q_\varepsilon\|_{L^2(0,T;H)} \leq C, \quad \forall \varepsilon > 0.$$

Now we may write  $q_\varepsilon$  as  $q_\varepsilon^1 + q_\varepsilon^2$  where  $q_\varepsilon^1 \in R(\mathfrak{A}_\varepsilon), q_\varepsilon^2 \in N(\mathfrak{A}_\varepsilon^*)$ . By Lemma 3.2 we know that

$$\|q_\varepsilon^1\|_{L^2(0,T;D(\mathcal{A}))\cap W^{1,2}([0,T];H)} \leq C, \quad \forall \varepsilon > 0$$

hence on a subsequence, again denoted  $\{\varepsilon\}$ , we have

$$q_\varepsilon^1 \rightarrow q^1 \text{ weakly in } L^2(0, T; D(\mathcal{A})) \cap W^{1,2}([0, T]; H),$$

$$q_\varepsilon^2 \rightarrow q^2 \text{ strongly in } L^2(0, T; D(\mathcal{A})) \cap W^{1,2}([0, T]; H)$$

because  $\{q_\varepsilon^2\} \subset N(\mathfrak{A}_\varepsilon^*)$  and  $\dim N(\mathfrak{A}_\varepsilon^*) \leq n_0$ . Now letting  $\varepsilon$  tend to 0 into (3.6), (3.7) it follows by Lemma 3.1 that

$$\mathfrak{A}^*(q^1 + q^2) = -\mathcal{A}_J(\Phi^* - \Phi^\bullet); \quad \Psi^* = \frac{1}{\ell} (q^1 + q^2) \text{ a.e. } t \in (0, T).$$

Let denote  $q = q^1 + q^2$ . We have established the following maximum principle result for problem (P):

**Theorem 3.1.** *If the pair  $(\Phi^*, \Psi^*)$  is optimal in problem (P) then there is  $q \in L^2(0, T; D(\mathcal{A})) \cap W^{1,2}([0, T]; H)$  such that*

$$q'(t) - \mathcal{A}q - \mathcal{B}_0(\Phi^*, \cdot, q) - \mathcal{B}_0(\cdot, \Phi^*, q) = \mathcal{A}_J(\Phi^* - \Phi^\bullet), \quad \text{a.e. } t \in (0, T),$$

$$q(0) = q(T), \tag{3.8}$$

$$\Psi^*(t) = \frac{1}{\ell} q(t), \quad \text{a.e. } t \in (0, T). \tag{3.9}$$

If  $q = (q_u, q_B)$  and  $\Psi^* = (\psi_1^*, \psi_2^*)$  the adjoint system can be written as

$$\frac{\partial q_u}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{Re} \Delta q_u + u^* \cdot \nabla q_u - B^* \nabla q_B + q_u \cdot \nabla u^* + q_B \cdot \nabla B^* + \nabla p_0$$

$$= -\Delta(u^* - u^\bullet) \quad \text{in } Q,$$

$$\frac{\partial q_B}{\partial t} - \frac{1}{Rm} \text{c\ddot{u}r}l(\text{curl } q_B) - SB^* \cdot \nabla q_u + u^* \cdot \nabla q_B - Sq_u \cdot \nabla B^* - q_B \cdot \nabla u^*$$

$$= \text{c\ddot{u}r}l(\text{curl}(B^* - B^\bullet)),$$

$$\text{div } q_u = 0, \quad \text{div } q_B = 0 \quad \text{in } Q,$$

$$q_u = 0 \text{ on } \Sigma, \quad q_B \cdot n = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \text{curl } q_B = 0 \quad \text{on } \Sigma,$$

$$q_u(x, 0) = q_u(x, T), \quad q_B(x, 0) = q_B(x, T) \quad \text{in } Q$$

and the optimality condition

$$\psi_1^* = \frac{1}{\ell} q_u, \quad \psi_2^* = \frac{1}{\ell} q_B \quad \text{in } Q.$$

#### 4. Semidiscrete-in-time approximations

Let  $\sigma_N = \{t_n\}_{n=0}^N$  be a partition of  $[0, T]$  into equal intervals of duration  $\Delta t = T/N$  with  $t_0 = 0$  and  $t_N = T$ . We will denote by  $\mathbf{v}$  the vector  $(v^{(1)}, v^{(2)}, \dots, v^{(N)})$  of functions

belonging to a space  $\mathbf{Y} = Y^N$ . We associate the following approximate function:

$$v^N(t, x) = v^{(n)}(x), \quad t \in [t_{n-1}, t_n], \quad n = 1, 2, \dots, N,$$

where  $v^{(0)} = v^{(N)}$ , and a continuous, piecewise (in time  $t$ ) linear function  $v_{pl}^N = v_{pl}^N(t, x)$  defined by the interpolating conditions

$$v_{pl}^N(t_n, x) = v^{(n)}(x), \quad n = 1, 2, \dots, N.$$

On this partition we define the discrete target  $\Phi^{\bullet(n)}(x) = \Phi^{\bullet}(t_n, x)$  for  $n = 0, 1, \dots, N$ . The state variables  $\Phi^{(n)} \in D(\mathcal{A})$  are constrained to satisfy the semidiscrete MHD equation

$$\frac{1}{\Delta t} (\Phi^{(n)} - \Phi^{(n-1)}) + \mathcal{A}\Phi^{(n)} + \mathcal{B}(\Phi^{(n)}, \Phi^{(n)}) = f^{(n)} + \Psi^{(n)} \tag{4.1}$$

obtained from (2.7) by a backward Euler discretization in time and the periodic condition

$$\Phi^{(0)} = \Phi^{(N)}. \tag{4.2}$$

Optimization is achieved by means of the minimization of the discretized-in-time functional

$$J^N(\Phi, \Psi) = \frac{1}{2} \Delta t \sum_{n=1}^N \|\Phi^{(n)} - \Phi^{\bullet(n)}\|_J^2 + \frac{\ell}{2} \Delta t \sum_{n=1}^N |\Psi^{(n)}|^2. \tag{4.3}$$

This functional results from applying the right-point discretization rule in time to the continuous functional  $J$ . The discrete-in-time approximate optimal control problem is then given by

given  $\Delta t = T/N$ ,  $\phi^{\bullet} \in L^2(0, T; V)$  find  $(\Phi, \Psi)$  in  $\mathbf{D}(\mathcal{A}) \times \mathbf{H}$  such that ( $P^N$ )  
 $(\Phi, \Psi)$  is the solution of (4.1) and the functional (4.3) is minimized.

As in the continuous case we have the following result on the existence of a optimal pair:

**Theorem 4.1.** *Given  $T > 0$ ,  $\Delta t = T/N$  there exists at least one optimal solution  $(\Phi^*, \Psi^*) \in \mathbf{D}(\mathcal{A}) \times \mathbf{H}$  of the semidiscrete optimal control problem.*

Now we can prove the convergence of the semidiscrete optimal control problem.

**Theorem 4.2.** *For  $\Delta t \rightarrow 0$  the solution  $\{(\Phi^{*(n)}, \Psi^{*(n)})\}_{n=1}^N$  of the semidiscrete-in-time optimal control problem tends to the solution  $(\Phi^*, \Psi^*)$  of the corresponding continuous optimal control problem.*

**Proof.** Using the similar computations as in the previous section (see [3,4,8]) we obtain easily that  $\{(\Phi^{*N}, \Psi^{*N})\}_{N=1}^{\infty}$  is uniformly bounded in  $L^2(0, T; D(\mathcal{A})) \cap L^{\infty}(0, T; V) \times L^2(0, T; H)$  and  $\{\frac{d}{dt} \Phi^{*N}_{pl}\}$  is uniformly bounded in  $L^2(0, T; V)$ . Moreover, we have that

$$\|\Phi^{*N} - \Phi^{*N}_{pl}\|_{L^2(0, T; V)}^2 = \frac{\Delta t}{3} \sum_{n=1}^N \|\Phi^{*(n)} - \Phi^{*(n-1)}\|^2 \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{when } \Delta t \rightarrow 0.$$

Hence on subsequences we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi^{*N} &\rightarrow \Psi^* \text{ weakly in } L^2(0, T; H), \\ \Phi^{*N} &\rightarrow \Phi^* \text{ strongly in } L^2(0, T; V), \text{ weak-}^* \text{ in } L^2(0, T; D(\mathcal{A})). \end{aligned} \tag{4.4}$$

Eq. (4.1) can be interpreted as

$$\frac{d\Phi^{*N}}{dt} + \mathcal{A}\Phi^{*N} + \mathcal{B}(\Phi^{*N}, \Phi^{*N}) = f^N + \Psi^{*N}$$

and as we pass  $N \rightarrow \infty$  we find that the solution of the semidiscrete problem ( $P^N$ ) converges to the corresponding solution of the continuous optimal control problem ( $P$ ).  $\square$

Due to the lack of differentiability in the application  $\Psi \rightarrow \Phi(\Psi)$  (see e.g. [7]) we will replace problem ( $P^N$ ) by a sequence of approximating problems ( $P_\varepsilon^N$ ), for which we can compute necessary conditions of optimality.

For each  $\varepsilon$  consider the following optimization problem: minimize

$$J_\varepsilon^N(\Phi, \Psi, \xi) = \frac{\Delta t}{2} \sum_{n=1}^N \|\Phi^{(n)} - \Phi^{\bullet(n)}\|_J^2 + \frac{\ell}{2} \Delta t \sum_{n=1}^N |\Psi^{(n)}|^2 + \frac{\Delta t}{2\varepsilon} \sum_{n=1}^N |\xi^{(n)}|^2 (P_\varepsilon^N)$$

over  $(\Phi, \Psi, \xi) \in \mathbf{D}(\mathcal{A}) \times \mathbf{H} \times \mathbf{H}$  satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\Delta t}(\Phi^{(n)} - \Phi^{(n-1)}) + \mathcal{A}\Phi^{(n)} + \mathcal{B}(\Phi^{(n)}, \Phi^{(n)}) &= f^{(n)} + \Psi^{(n)} + \xi^{(n)}, \\ \Phi^{(0)} &= \Phi^{(N)}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.5}$$

By Theorem 4.1, for each  $\varepsilon > 0$  problem ( $P_\varepsilon^N$ ) has at least one solution  $(\Phi_\varepsilon, \Psi_\varepsilon, \xi_\varepsilon)$ .

**Lemma 4.1.** For  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_\varepsilon^{(n)} &\rightarrow \Phi^{*(n)} \text{ weakly in } D(\mathcal{A}), \text{ strongly in } V, \\ \Psi_\varepsilon^{(n)} &\rightarrow \Psi^{*(n)} \text{ weakly in } V, \text{ strongly in } H, \\ \varepsilon^{-1/2} \xi_\varepsilon^{(n)} &\rightarrow 0 \text{ weakly in } H \end{aligned} \tag{4.6}$$

for all  $n = 1, \dots, N$  and

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \left\{ \inf_{(\Phi, \Psi, \xi)} (P_\varepsilon^N) \right\} = \inf_{(\Phi, \Psi)} (P^N).$$

For  $\lambda \in R$ ,  $\Phi^{(n)} \in D(\mathcal{A}), \forall n = 0, \dots, N$  with  $\Phi^{(0)} = \Phi^{(N)}$  we denote

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta_\lambda^{(n)} &= \zeta_\varepsilon^{(n)} + \lambda \left( \frac{\Phi^{(n)} - \Phi^{(n-1)}}{\Delta t} + \mathcal{A}\Phi^{(n)} + \mathcal{B}(\Phi_\varepsilon^{(n)}, \Phi^{(n)}) + \mathcal{B}(\Phi^{(n)}, \Phi_\varepsilon^{(n)}) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \lambda \mathcal{B}(\Phi^{(n)}, \Phi^{(n)}) - \Psi^{(n)} \right) \end{aligned}$$

and by the optimality of  $(\Phi_\varepsilon^{(n)}, \Psi_\varepsilon^{(n)}, \zeta_\varepsilon^{(n)})$  we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\Delta t \sum ((\Phi_\varepsilon^{(n)} - \Phi^{\bullet(n)}, \Phi^{(n)})_J + \ell \Delta t \sum (\Psi_\varepsilon^{(n)}, \Psi^{(n)}) \\ &+ \frac{\Delta t}{\varepsilon} \sum_{n=1}^N \left( \zeta_\varepsilon^{(n)}, \frac{\Phi^{(n)} - \Phi^{(n-1)}}{\Delta t} + \mathcal{A}\Phi^{(n)} + \mathcal{B}(\Phi_\varepsilon^{(n)}, \Phi^{(n)}) + \mathcal{B}(\Phi^{(n)}, \Phi_\varepsilon^{(n)}) \right. \\ &\left. + \lambda \mathcal{B}(\Phi^{(n)}, \Phi^{(n)}) - \Psi^{(n)} \right) \geq 0 \end{aligned} \tag{4.7}$$

for all  $\{\Phi^{(n)}\}_{n=0}^N \subset D(\mathcal{A})^{N+1}$  with  $\Phi^{(0)} = \Phi^{(N)}$ , and for all  $\Psi^{(n)} \in H, n = 1, \dots, N$ . We set  $q_\varepsilon^{(n)} = \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \zeta_\varepsilon^{(n)}$ . Using an argument similar to the continuous case, it can be easily proved the following maximum principle for the semidiscrete-in-time optimal control problem  $(P^N)$ .

**Theorem 4.3.** *If the pair  $(\Phi^*, \Psi^*)$  is optimal in problem  $(P^N)$  then there is  $q \in \mathbf{D}(\mathcal{A})$  such that*

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{\Delta t} (q^{(n)} - q^{(n-1)}) - \mathcal{A}q^{(n)} - \mathcal{B}_0(\Phi_\varepsilon^{(n)}, q^{(n)}, \Psi^{(n)}) - \mathcal{B}_0(\Psi^{(n)}, q^{(n)}, \Phi_\varepsilon^{(n)}) \\ &= \mathcal{A}_J(\Phi^{*(n)} - \Phi^{\bullet(n)}), \\ &\Psi^{*(n)} = \frac{1}{\ell} q^{(n)} \end{aligned}$$

for all  $n = 1, \dots, N$ , with  $q^{(0)} = q^{(N)}$ .

### References

- [1] V. Barbu, Optimal control of Navier–Stokes equations with periodic inputs, *Nonlinear Appl. Theory, Methods Appl.* 31 (1998) 15–31.
- [2] M. Gunzburger, A. Meir, J. Peterson, On the existence, uniqueness, and finite element approximation of solutions of the equations of stationary, incompressible magnetohydrodynamics, *Math. Comput.* 56 (194) (1991) 523–563.
- [3] M. Gunzburger, The velocity tracking problem for Navier–Stokes flows with bounded distributed controls, *SIAM J. Control. Optim.* 37 (6) (1999) 1913–1945.
- [4] M. Gunzburger, Analysis and approximation of the velocity tracking problem for Navier–Stokes flows with distributed control, *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.* 37 (5) (2000) 1481–1512.
- [5] L. Landau, E. Lifschitz, *Electrodynamique des milieux continus*, Physique théorique, tome VIII, MIR, Moscow 1969.
- [6] M. Sermange, R. Temam, Some mathematical questions related to the MHD equations, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* 6 (1983) 635–664.
- [7] R. Temam, Une propriété générique des solutions stationnaires ou périodiques des équations de Navier–Stokes, in: H. Fujita (ed.), *Symposium Franco-Japonais, Septembre 1976 Functional Analysis and Numerical Analysis*, Japan Society for the Promotion of Science, 1978.
- [8] R. Temam, *Navier–Stokes Equations*, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1979.