



COMMUNICATIONS IN PARTIAL DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS  
Vol. 28, Nos. 3 & 4, pp. 795–816, 2003

## Long Time Behavior of Solutions to the 3D Compressible Euler Equations with Damping

Thomas C. Sideris,<sup>1,\*</sup> Becca Thomases,<sup>1</sup> and Dehua Wang<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mathematics, University of California,  
Santa Barbara, California, USA

<sup>2</sup>Department of Mathematics, University of Pittsburgh,  
Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, USA

### ABSTRACT

The effect of damping on the large-time behavior of solutions to the Cauchy problem for the three-dimensional compressible Euler equations is studied. It is proved that damping prevents the development of singularities in small amplitude classical solutions, using an equivalent reformulation of the Cauchy problem to obtain effective energy estimates. The full solution relaxes in the maximum norm to the constant background state at a rate of  $t^{-3/2}$ . While the fluid vorticity decays to zero exponentially fast in time, the full solution does not decay exponentially. Formation of singularities is also exhibited for large data.

*Key Words:* Euler equations; Damping; Global smooth solutions; Existence; Decay; Singularities.

*1991 Mathematics Subject Classification:* 35L65; 76N15.

---

\*Correspondence: Thomas C. Sideris, Department of Mathematics, University of California, Santa Barbara, CA 93106, USA; E-mail: sideris@math.ucsb.edu.



## 1. INTRODUCTION

Compressible inviscid flow is governed by the Euler equations (3,17), the main feature of which is the development of shock waves in finite time for solutions with general initial data. This paper explores the influence of damping on the development of singularities in classical solutions of the three-dimensional compressible Euler equations. It will be shown that damping prevents the formation of singularities in small amplitude flows, but large solutions may still break down.

Shock wave formation in the undamped case is best understood in one space dimension where the method of characteristics can be successfully employed, see Courant–Friedrichs (6) and Whitham (34). For the mathematical analysis of finite-time formation of singularities and long-time behavior of solutions of the multi-dimensional Euler equations, see Sideris (29–31), as well as Makino–Ukai–Kawashima (22), Rammaha (28), Alinhac (1,2), Chemin (5), and the references cited therein. For the development of singularities in general systems of one-dimensional conservation laws, see (8,15,18,20).

With damping, the three-dimensional compressible Euler equations for isentropic flows have the following form:

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \rho + \nabla \cdot \rho v = 0, \\ \partial_t(\rho v) + \nabla \cdot (\rho v \otimes v) + \nabla p + a \rho v = 0, \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

where  $\rho(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $v(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^3$  represent the density, velocity of the flow, respectively;  $x \in \mathbb{R}^3$  is the space variable,  $t > 0$  is the time variable; the pressure  $p$  satisfies the  $\gamma$ -law:

$$p = p(\rho) = A \rho^\gamma,$$

with  $\gamma > 1$  the adiabatic exponent,  $A > 0$  a constant;  $a > 0$  is the damping constant and  $1/a$  may be regarded as the relaxation time for some physical flows. In this paper we investigate the Cauchy problem of three-dimensional Euler equations (1.1) with the initial condition:

$$(\rho, v)|_{t=0} = (\rho_0, v_0). \quad (1.2)$$

We are interested in the damping effect on the regularity and large-time behavior of smooth solutions. It will be proved that the size of the smooth initial data (relative to the damping coefficient) plays the key role. If the initial data are small in an appropriate norm, then damping can prevent the development of singularities and the Cauchy problem has a unique global smooth solution which decays in the maximum norm to the background state at a rate of  $t^{-(3/2)}$ . Similar results have been previously obtained by Wang and Yang (33), but we regard our approach to be simpler. It will also be shown that the vorticity converges to zero exponentially and that the density goes to the background no faster than  $t^{-(3/2)}$ . Finally, if the initial data are large, it will be proved that the damping is not strong enough to prevent the formation of singularities in finite time, even though the initial data are smooth.

For the one-dimensional Euler equations with damping, the global existence of a smooth solution with small data was proved by Nishida (25,26), and the behavior of the smooth solution was studied in many papers; see the excellent survey paper by

**3D Compressible Euler Equations with Damping**

797

Dafermos (7), the book by Hsiao (10), the papers (11–14,32,36), and their references. For the existence of global smooth solution to general hyperbolic systems with weakly linear degeneracy, see the book (19).

In this article, we consider the multi-dimensional case which has much richer phenomena than the one-dimensional case. The method of characteristics often plays a crucial role in the analysis of one-dimensional problems, but it is of little use here. Instead, we will fall back on the method of energy estimates for symmetric hyperbolic systems. We do not work directly on the original Euler equations (1.1), however. It is much easier to first symmetrize the system by introducing the sound speed as a new dependent variable rather than the density. This reformulation of the system is valid for  $C^1$  flows with strictly positive density. Although general weak solutions may cavitate (see (6)), for a  $C^1$  flow, the density will remain positive if it is initially. The local existence and uniqueness of  $H^3$  solution can be established by following the methods in Kato (16) or Majda (21). To prove global existence of a smooth solution with small initial data, we establish global a priori estimates of the solution.

Using estimates for the linearized equation, we obtain the decay of the solution to the nonlinear problem in  $L^\infty$  at a rate of  $t^{-(3/2)}$ , as in the case of a diffusion equation. It is also shown that the deviation of the sound speed from its background state cannot decay exponentially fast. However, we show that the vorticity decays exponentially fast to zero.

The system under consideration is an example of a hyperbolic relaxation system, the general study of which has received considerable attention, see (4,24,35), for example.

The break down of smooth solutions with large initial data is also demonstrated, using an adaptation of a method given in (29) for the undamped case. The argument depends on the finite propagation of compactly supported disturbances. This property holds for the damped system, by the usual local energy methods.

We organize the article as follows. In Sec. 2, we reformulate the Cauchy problem for Eq. (1.1) into a symmetric hyperbolic system and discuss the positivity of the density. In Sec. 3, we present the local existence result and prove the finite speed of propagation. In Sec. 4, we establish the major energy estimates which are then used in Sec. 5 to prove global existence. In Sec. 6, we prove the algebraic decay of the smooth solution and the exponential decay of the vorticity. Finally in Sec. 7, we present identities and inequalities that show that the full solution does not decay exponentially and that in the case of large data singularities may develop.

**2. REFORMULATION OF THE PROBLEM**

In this section, we are going to reformulate the Cauchy problem of the compressible Euler system (1.1) with the initial condition (1.2). The main point is to obtain a symmetric system. Introduce the sound speed

$$\sigma(\rho) = \sqrt{p'(\rho)},$$



and set  $\bar{\sigma} = \sigma(\bar{\rho})$  corresponding to the sound speed at a background density  $\bar{\rho} > 0$ . Define

$$u = \frac{2}{\gamma - 1}(\sigma(\rho) - \bar{\sigma}).$$

Then the Euler equations (1.1) are transformed into the following system for  $C^1$  solutions:

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u + \bar{\sigma} \nabla \cdot v = -v \cdot \nabla u - \frac{\gamma - 1}{2} u \nabla \cdot v, \\ \partial_t v + \bar{\sigma} \nabla u + av = -v \cdot \nabla v - \frac{\gamma - 1}{2} u \nabla u. \end{cases} \quad (2.1)$$

The initial condition (1.2) becomes

$$(u, v)|_{t=0} = (u_0(x), v_0(x)) \quad (2.2)$$

with

$$u_0 = \frac{2}{\gamma - 1}(\sigma(\rho_0) - \bar{\sigma}).$$

The proof of the following Lemma is straightforward.

**Lemma 2.1.** *For any  $T > 0$ , if  $(\rho, v) \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^3 \times [0, T])$  is a solution of Eq. (1.1) with  $\rho > 0$ , then  $(u, v) \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^3 \times [0, T])$  is a solution of Eq. (2.1) with  $((\gamma - 1)/2)u + \bar{\sigma} > 0$ .*

*Conversely, if  $(u, v) \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^3 \times [0, T])$  is a solution of Eq. (2.1) with  $((\gamma - 1)/2)u + \bar{\sigma} > 0$  and  $\rho = \sigma^{-1}(((\gamma - 1)/2)u + \bar{\sigma})$ , then  $(\rho, v) \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^3 \times [0, T])$  is a solution of Eq. (1.1) with  $\rho > 0$ .*

The positivity of the density in the above lemma follows from the corresponding positivity of the initial density.

**Lemma 2.2.** *If  $(\rho, v) \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^3 \times [0, T])$  is a uniformly bounded solution of Eq. (1.1) with  $\rho(x, 0) > 0$ , then  $\rho(x, t) > 0$  on  $\mathbb{R}^3 \times [0, T]$ .*

*If  $(u, v) \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^3 \times [0, T])$  is a uniformly bounded solution of Eq. (2.1) with  $((\gamma - 1)/2)u(x, 0) + \bar{\sigma} > 0$ , then  $((\gamma - 1)/2)u(x, t) + \bar{\sigma} > 0$  on  $\mathbb{R}^3 \times [0, T]$ .*

**Proof.** Since  $v$  is uniformly bounded, for any given  $y \in \mathbb{R}^3$  and  $s \in [0, T]$  the particle trajectory  $x = x(t; y, s)$  starting at  $y$  at time  $s$  is defined for  $0 \leq t \leq T$  by

$$\frac{dx}{dt} = v(x, t), \quad x|_{t=s} = y.$$

Along the particle trajectory  $x = x(t; y, s)$ , the directional derivative of the density is

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \rho(x(t; y, s), t) &= \partial_t \rho(x(t; y, s), t) + v(x(t; y, s), t) \cdot \nabla \rho(x(t; y, s), t) \\ &= -\rho(x(t; y, s), t) \nabla \cdot v(x(t; y, s), t) \end{aligned}$$

**3D Compressible Euler Equations with Damping****799**

using the first equation in Eq. (1.1). Solving this ordinary differential equation gives

$$\rho(x(t; y, s), t) = \rho(x_0, 0) \exp\left(-\int_0^t \nabla \cdot v(x(\tau; y, s), \tau) d\tau\right) > 0$$

for any  $t \in [0, T]$ , where  $x_0 = x(0; y, s)$ . In particular

$$\rho(y, s) = \rho(x(s; y, s), s) > 0.$$

Since  $(y, s)$  is arbitrary, the first part of the lemma is proved. The second part is equivalent to the first part, via Lemma 2.1.  $\square$

We remark that the positivity of the density for  $C^1$  solutions in the above lemma is generally not true if the solution has singularities or shock waves, that is, a vacuum state may develop for weak solutions; see Courant–Friedrichs (6).

**3. LOCAL EXISTENCE AND FINITE PROPAGATION SPEED**

Set  $U(x, t) = (u(x, t), v(x, t))$  and

$$U_0(x) = U(x, 0) = (u(x, 0), v(x, 0)) = (u_0(x), v_0(x)).$$

For the Cauchy problems (2.1) and (2.2), we first have the local existence result which can be obtained using the arguments in (16,21).

**Lemma 3.1.** *If  $U_0 = U(x, 0) = (u_0(x), v_0(x)) \in H^3$ , then there exists a unique local solution  $U(x, t)$  of the Cauchy problems (2.1) and (2.2) in  $C([0, T], H^3) \cap C^1([0, T], H^2)$  for some finite  $T > 0$ .*

We also have the following property about the finite speed of propagation of the solution.

**Lemma 3.2.** *Suppose that  $U_0 \in H^3$  and  $U \in C([0, T], H^3) \cap C^1([0, T], H^2)$  is a solution to the Cauchy problems (2.1) and (2.2) for any given  $T > 0$ . If  $\text{supp } U_0 \subset \{|x| \leq R\}$ , for some  $R > 0$ , then  $\text{supp } U(\cdot, t) \subset \{|x| \leq R + \bar{\sigma}t\}$ , for  $0 \leq t < T$ .*

**Proof.** Looking at Eq. (2.1) we multiply the first equation by  $u$ , the second by  $v$ . Then we add them together and upon rearranging the nonlinear terms we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \partial_t u^2 + \frac{1}{2} \partial_t |v|^2 + \bar{\sigma} \nabla \cdot (uv) \\ & = -a|v|^2 - \frac{1}{2} \left( v \cdot \nabla (u^2 + |v|^2) + (\gamma - 1) u \nabla \cdot (uv) \right). \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$



For a given  $(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \times (0, T]$ , take any  $\tau \in [0, t)$ , and define the truncated cone

$$C_\tau = \{(y, s) : |y - x| \leq \bar{\sigma}(t - s), 0 \leq s \leq \tau\}.$$

We integrate Eq. (3.1) over  $C_\tau$ . For the terms from the left side of the Eq. (3.1), the divergence theorem gives

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \int_{|y-x| \leq \bar{\sigma}(t-\tau)} (u^2 + |v|^2)(y, \tau) dy \\ & - \frac{1}{2} \int_{|y-x| \leq \bar{\sigma}t} (u^2 + |v|^2)(y, 0) dy \\ & + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\bar{\sigma}^2 + 1}} \int_0^\tau \int_{|y-x| = \bar{\sigma}(t-s)} \left( \frac{\bar{\sigma}}{2} (u^2 + |v|^2) + \frac{y-x}{|y-x|} \cdot \bar{\sigma} uv \right) dS_y ds. \end{aligned}$$

The integral along the sides, i.e., the third integral, is nonnegative because

$$\left| \frac{y-x}{|y-x|} \cdot \bar{\sigma} uv \right| \leq \bar{\sigma} |uv| \leq \frac{\bar{\sigma}}{2} (u^2 + |v|^2).$$

Using integration by parts and the inequality  $|uv| \leq (u^2 + |v|^2)/2$ , the terms on the right side of Eq. (3.1) yield

$$\begin{aligned} & \iint_{C_\tau} \left( -a|v|^2 - \frac{1}{2} (v \cdot \nabla (u^2 + |v|^2) + (\gamma - 1) u \nabla \cdot (uv)) \right) dy ds \\ & \leq - \iint_{C_\tau} \left( uv \cdot \nabla u + v \cdot (v \cdot \nabla v) + \frac{\gamma-1}{2} (u^2 \nabla \cdot v + u \nabla u \cdot v) \right) dy ds \\ & \leq C \iint_{C_\tau} |\nabla U| (u^2 + |v|^2) dy ds \end{aligned}$$

for some constant  $C > 0$ . Therefore, combining the above estimates, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \int_{|y-x| \leq \bar{\sigma}(t-\tau)} (u^2 + |v|^2)(y, \tau) dy \\ & - \frac{1}{2} \int_{|y-x| \leq \bar{\sigma}t} (u^2 + |v|^2)(y, 0) dy \\ & \leq C \int_0^\tau \int_{|y-x| \leq \bar{\sigma}(t-s)} |\nabla U| (u^2 + |v|^2)(y, s) dy ds. \end{aligned}$$

Letting

$$e(\tau) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{|y-x| \leq \bar{\sigma}(t-\tau)} (u^2 + |v|^2)(y, \tau) dy,$$

we have

$$e(\tau) \leq e(0) + C \max_{C_\tau} |\nabla U| \int_0^\tau e(s) ds.$$

**3D Compressible Euler Equations with Damping****801**

By Gronwall's inequality we see that

$$e(\tau) \leq e(0) \exp\left(C \max_{C_\tau} |\nabla U| t\right).$$

Therefore, if  $U(y, 0) = 0$  for  $|y - x| \leq \bar{\sigma}t$ , then  $U(y, \tau) = 0$  for  $|y - x| \leq \bar{\sigma}(t - \tau)$  and any  $\tau \in [0, t)$ . This implies that if  $U(x, 0) = 0$  for  $|x| > R$  then  $U(x, t) = 0$  for  $|x| > R + \bar{\sigma}t$ . The proof of the lemma is complete.  $\square$

Lemma 3.2 on finite propagation speed will be used later to show the non-exponential decay of the density and the formation of singularities.

**4. ENERGY ESTIMATES**

In this section, we establish the energy estimates which will be used for proving the global existence of solutions in the next section.

We will use the notation  $\|\cdot\|$  for the norm in  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$  and  $|\cdot|_\infty$  for the norm in  $L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)$ . In order to distinguish time and space derivatives, let  $\partial$  denote the vector of all first spatial and time derivatives and let  $\nabla$  denote only the spatial derivatives, thus  $\partial = (\nabla, \partial_t)$ . We will use  $C$  to denote a generic positive constant which may depend only on  $\gamma$ . The energy of a function is

$$E[u](t) = \|u(\cdot, t)\|_{H^3(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 + \|\partial_t u(\cdot, t)\|_{H^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2. \quad (4.1a)$$

It is also convenient to introduce the quantity

$$X[u](t) = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq 2} \|\partial^\alpha u(\cdot, t)\|^2. \quad (4.1b)$$

Notice that

$$E[u](t) = X[u](t) + \|u(\cdot, t)\|^2. \quad (4.1c)$$

We have the following estimates.

**Lemma 4.1.** *If  $U = (u, v) \in C([0, T], H^3) \cap C^1([0, T], H^2)$  is a solution of Eq. (2.1) for any given  $T > 0$ , then*

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|U(\cdot, t)\|^2 + a \|v(\cdot, t)\|^2 \leq C |U(\cdot, t)|_\infty \|v(\cdot, t)\| \|\nabla U(\cdot, t)\|, \quad (4.2a)$$

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} X[U](t) + a X[v](t) \leq C |\partial U(\cdot, t)|_\infty X[U](t), \quad (4.2b)$$

and as a consequence

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} E[U](t) + a E[v](t) \leq C E[U](t)^{1/2} (X[u](t) + E[v](t)). \quad (4.2c)$$

**Proof.** Multiplying the first equation of Eq. (2.1) by  $u$ , the second by  $v$  and adding them together, we obtain Eq. (3.1). Integrate the Eq. (3.1) and use integration



by parts for the last terms on the left and right side to get, with the aid of the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|U(\cdot, t)\|^2 + a \|v(\cdot, t)\|^2 \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} \int v \cdot \nabla(u^2 + |v|^2) dx + \frac{\gamma-1}{2} \int uv \cdot \nabla u dx \\ &\leq C \|v\| (\|\nabla(u^2 + |v|^2)\| + \|u \nabla u\|) \leq C \|v\| \|U\|_\infty \|\nabla U\|, \end{aligned}$$

where and from now on, we denote  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}$  by  $\int$  for simplicity of notation. Estimate (4.2a) follows.

We prove estimate (4.2b) in a similar manner. First we take  $\partial \nabla^\alpha$  derivatives of Eq. (2.1) and multiply the equations by  $\partial \nabla^\alpha U$ . After integrating, summing on  $|\alpha| \leq 2$ , and adding the two expressions, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} X[U](t) + a X[v](t) \\ &= - \sum_{|\alpha| \leq 2} \int (\partial \nabla^\alpha (v \cdot \nabla u) \partial \nabla^\alpha u + \partial \nabla^\alpha (v \cdot \nabla v) \cdot \partial \nabla^\alpha v) dx \\ &\quad - \frac{\gamma-1}{2} \sum_{|\alpha| \leq 2} \int (\partial \nabla^\alpha (u \nabla \cdot v) \partial \nabla^\alpha u + \partial \nabla^\alpha (u \nabla u) \cdot \partial \nabla^\alpha v) dx. \end{aligned} \quad (4.3)$$

The worst case is when  $|\alpha| = 2$ . Here there are three main possibilities with the product  $\partial \nabla^\alpha (U \partial U)$  from Eq. (4.3). First, exactly one derivative or all three derivatives can fall on the first term. This case can be handled directly with the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality, and hence

$$\begin{aligned} & \int \partial U \nabla^3 U \partial \nabla^2 U dx \leq C \|\partial U\|_\infty X[U], \\ & \int \nabla U \partial \nabla^2 U \partial \nabla^2 U dx \leq C \|\partial U\|_\infty X[U]. \end{aligned}$$

Secondly, exactly one derivative can fall on the second term of the product  $\partial \nabla^\alpha (U \partial U)$  from Eq. (4.3). Here we need to first use Hölder’s inequality to get

$$\int \partial \nabla U \nabla^2 U \partial \nabla^2 U dx \leq \int |\partial \nabla U|^2 |\partial \nabla^2 U| dx \leq \|\partial \nabla U\|_{L^4}^2 \|\partial \nabla^2 U\|. \quad (4.4)$$

Using integration by parts, one has

$$\begin{aligned} \|\partial \nabla U\|_{L^4}^4 &= \int |\nabla \partial U|^2 \nabla \partial U \cdot \nabla \partial U dx \\ &= - \int \partial U \nabla (|\nabla \partial U|^2 \nabla \partial U) dx \\ &\leq C \|\partial U\|_\infty \int |\nabla \partial U|^2 |\nabla^2 \partial U| dx \\ &\leq C \|\partial U\|_\infty \|\nabla \partial U\|_{L^4}^2 \|\nabla^2 \partial U\|, \end{aligned}$$

**3D Compressible Euler Equations with Damping****803**

and then we obtain the Gagliardo-Nirenberg type inequality:

$$\|\partial \nabla U\|_{L^4}^2 \leq C|\partial U|_\infty \|\partial \nabla^2 U\|. \quad (4.5)$$

Thus Eqs. (4.4) and (4.5) imply that

$$\int \partial \nabla U \nabla^2 U \partial \nabla^2 U \, dx \leq C|\partial U|_\infty \|\partial \nabla^2 U\|^2 \leq C|\partial U|_\infty X[U].$$

Finally, in the case that all of the derivatives fall on the second term in the product  $\partial \nabla^\alpha(U \partial U)$  from Eq. (4.3), integration by parts gives

$$\begin{aligned} & \int (v \cdot \partial \nabla^3 u \partial \nabla^2 u + v \cdot \partial \nabla^3 v \partial \nabla^2 v) \, dx \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int v \cdot \nabla (|\partial \nabla^2 u|^2 + |\partial \nabla^2 v|^2) \, dx \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} \int (|\partial \nabla^2 u|^2 + |\partial \nabla^2 v|^2) \nabla \cdot v \, dx \leq C|\partial U|_\infty X[U], \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \int (u \partial \nabla^2 \nabla \cdot v \partial \nabla^2 u + u \partial \nabla^2 \nabla u \cdot \partial \nabla^2 v) \, dx \\ &= \int u \nabla (\partial \nabla^2 v \partial \nabla^2 u) \, dx = - \int \nabla u (\partial \nabla^2 v \partial \nabla^2 u) \, dx \\ &\leq C|\partial U|_\infty X[U]. \end{aligned}$$

If  $|\alpha| < 2$  the situation is similar to one of these three cases and thus the details are omitted here. Estimate (4.2b) is proved.

Finally to show Eq. (4.2c) we simply add Eqs. (4.2a) and (4.2b) together and use Eq. (4.1c) to get

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} E[U](t) + aE[v](t) \\ & \leq C|U(\cdot, t)|_\infty \|v(\cdot, t)\| \|\nabla U(\cdot, t)\| + C|\partial U((t))|_\infty X[U](t). \end{aligned} \quad (4.6)$$

Now using the Sobolev inequality we see that  $|U|_\infty \leq C\|U\|_{H^2} \leq CE[U]^{1/2}$  and hence

$$\begin{aligned} |U|_\infty \|v\| \|\nabla U\| & \leq CE[U]^{1/2} E[v]^{1/2} X[U]^{1/2} \leq CE[U]^{1/2} (X[U] + E[v]) \\ & \leq CE[U]^{1/2} (X[u] + E[v]). \end{aligned}$$

For the remaining term in Eq. (4.6) use the Sobolev inequality again to see that  $|\partial U|_\infty \leq C\|\partial U\|_{H^2} \leq CE[U]^{1/2}$ . Hence

$$|\partial U|_\infty X[U] \leq CE[U]^{1/2} (X[u] + X[v]) \leq CE[U]^{1/2} (X[u] + E[v]).$$

Then estimate (4.2c) follows. This completes the proof of Lemma 4.1.  $\square$



Inequality (4.2c) is the major energy estimate we need for proving the global existence in the next section. The following further estimate on the relation between  $X$  and  $E$  is also necessary:

**Lemma 4.2.** *If  $U = (u, v) \in C([0, T], H^3) \cap C^1([0, T], H^2)$  is a solution of Eq. (2.1) for any given  $T > 0$ , then*

$$X[u] \leq CE[u]X[u] + (C + CE[u])E[v]. \quad (4.7)$$

**Proof.** Using Eq. (2.1) we can write

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t u &= -\left(\bar{\sigma} \nabla \cdot v + v \cdot \nabla u + \frac{\gamma-1}{2} u \nabla \cdot v\right), \\ \nabla u &= -\frac{1}{\bar{\sigma}} \left(\partial_t v + av + v \cdot \nabla v + \frac{\gamma-1}{2} u \nabla u\right). \end{aligned}$$

If we take  $\alpha$  space derivatives of these equations in  $L^2$ , sum on  $|\alpha| \leq 2$  and add them together, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} X[u] &\leq C \sum_{|\alpha| \leq 2} \left( \|\nabla^\alpha \nabla \cdot v\|^2 + \|\nabla^\alpha (v \cdot \nabla u)\|^2 + \|\nabla^\alpha (u \nabla \cdot v)\|^2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \|\nabla^\alpha \partial_t v\|^2 + \|\nabla^\alpha v\|^2 + \|\nabla^\alpha (v \cdot \nabla v)\|^2 + \|\nabla^\alpha (u \nabla u)\|^2 \right) \\ &\leq CE[v] + C \sum_{|\alpha| \leq 2} \left( \|\nabla^\alpha (v \cdot \nabla u)\|^2 + \|\nabla^\alpha (u \nabla \cdot v)\|^2 + \|\nabla^\alpha (u \nabla u)\|^2 \right). \end{aligned} \quad (4.8a)$$

Using the Sobolev inequality

$$\begin{aligned} |u|_\infty &\leq C \|u\|_{H^2} \leq CE[u]^{1/2}, & |v|_\infty &\leq C \|v\|_{H^2} \leq CE[v]^{1/2} \\ |\nabla u|_\infty &\leq C \|\nabla u\|_{H^2} \leq CE[u]^{1/2}, & |\nabla v|_\infty &\leq C \|\nabla v\|_{H^2} \leq CE[v]^{1/2}, \end{aligned}$$

we have the following estimates

$$\sum_{|\alpha| \leq 2} \|\nabla^\alpha (u \nabla u)\|^2 \leq \sum_{|\alpha| \leq 2} |(u, \nabla u)|_\infty^2 \|\nabla^\alpha \nabla u\|^2 \leq CE[u] X[u], \quad (4.8b)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{|\alpha| \leq 2} \|\nabla^\alpha (v \cdot \nabla u)\|^2 &\leq \sum_{|\alpha| \leq 2} \left( |\nabla u|_\infty^2 \|\nabla^\alpha v\|^2 + |\nabla v|_\infty^2 \|\nabla^\alpha u\|^2 + |v|_\infty^2 \|\nabla^\alpha \nabla u\|^2 \right) \\ &\leq CE[u] E[v], \end{aligned} \quad (4.8c)$$

and similarly

$$\sum_{|\alpha| \leq 2} \|\nabla^\alpha (u \nabla \cdot v)\|^2 \leq CE[u] E[v]. \quad (4.8d)$$

Then estimate (4.7) follows from (4.8a)–(4.8d). The proof of Lemma 4.2 is complete.  $\square$



## 5. GLOBAL EXISTENCE

In this section, we prove that, if the initial energy is sufficiently small, the Cauchy problem (2.1) and (2.2) has a unique global smooth solution. For the common constant  $C > 0$  in Eqs. (4.2c) and (4.7), define

$$\delta_0 = \min \left\{ \frac{a^2}{16C^2(C+1)^2}, \frac{1}{2C} \right\}, \quad \text{and} \quad \mu = \frac{a}{2(2C+1)}.$$

First we have the following estimate on the energy of the solution:

**Theorem 5.1.** *For any given  $T > 0$ , suppose that  $U = (u, v)$  is the solution of the Cauchy problems (2.1) and (2.2) defined for  $(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \times [0, T)$ , with  $U \in C([0, T), H^3) \cap C^1([0, T), H^2)$ . If  $E[U](t) \leq \delta_0$ , then the following estimate holds for all  $t \in [0, T)$ :*

$$E[U](t) + \mu \int_0^t ([X[u](s) + E[v](s)]) ds \leq E[U](0). \quad (5.1)$$

**Proof.** Since  $E[U](t) \leq \delta_0$ , by Lemma 4.2, we have

$$X[u] \leq \frac{1}{2}X[u] + \left(C + \frac{1}{2}\right)E[v],$$

then

$$X[u] \leq (2C+1)E[v]. \quad (5.2)$$

Using the estimate (5.2), the assumption  $E[U](t) \leq \delta_0$ , and the definition of  $\delta_0$ , Lemma 4.1 yields

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} E[U] + aE[v] \leq CE[U]^{1/2} (X[u] + E[v]) \leq \frac{a}{2} E[v],$$

thus

$$\frac{d}{dt} E[U](t) + aE[v](t) \leq 0.$$

By Eq. (5.2),

$$\frac{a}{2(2C+1)} X[u] \leq \frac{a}{2} E[v],$$

and then

$$\frac{d}{dt} E[U](t) + \mu(X[u] + E[v]) \leq 0.$$

Therefore, Eq. (5.1) follows from integrating this inequality over  $[0, t]$ .  $\square$



Now we have the result on global existence of solutions.

**Theorem 5.2.** *Given the initial condition  $U_0(x) = (u_0(x), v_0(x)) \in H^3$  with  $E[U](0) < \delta_0$ , there exists a unique global solution  $U(x, t) = (u, v)(x, t)$  of the Cauchy problems (2.1) and (2.2) in  $C([0, \infty), H^3) \cap C^1([0, \infty), H^2)$  satisfying the energy estimate (5.1).*

**Proof.** The problem is locally well-posed in  $H^3$ . Since  $E[U](0) < \delta_0$ , Theorem 5.1 implies that  $E[U](t) < \delta_0$  as long as the solution exists. This bound ensures that the solution can be continued globally.  $\square$

**Remark 5.1.** If we consider the Euler system (1.1) in a bounded domain  $\Omega$  with smooth boundary, we can study the initial-boundary value problem of Eq. (1.1) with the initial condition (1.2) and the following boundary condition:

$$v \cdot \nu|_{\partial\Omega} = 0,$$

where  $\nu$  is the unit outward normal of the boundary  $\partial\Omega$ . The trouble of this initial-boundary value problem is that the spatial derivative of  $v$  is not known on the boundary. This can be overcome by first looking at the estimates of the time derivatives of the solution since  $\partial_t^k v|_{\partial\Omega} = 0$  for any positive integer  $k$ . Using the ideas of this paper combined with the arguments in other papers on the boundary problems, e.g., (23), one may work on the equivalent system (2.1) to obtain a similar global existence result. The details are omitted.

### 6. DECAY ESTIMATES

In this section, we make estimates to obtain the decay rates of the solution constructed in Theorem 5.2. We also show the exponential decay of the vorticity.

To obtain the decay estimates, without loss of generality, we take  $\bar{\sigma} = 1$  in Eq. (2.1) and consider the linear system

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u + \nabla \cdot v = 0, \\ \partial_t v + \nabla u + av = 0. \end{cases} \tag{6.1}$$

The Fourier transform of Eq. (6.1) yields  $\partial_t \hat{U}(\xi, t) = A(\xi)\hat{U}(\xi, t)$ , with  $\hat{U}(\xi, t) = (\hat{u}(\xi, t), \hat{v}(\xi, t))^T$  and

$$A(\xi) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -i\xi \\ -i\xi^\top & -a\mathbf{I}_3 \end{bmatrix},$$

where  $\top$  denotes the transpose of a row vector and  $\mathbf{I}_3$  is the  $3 \times 3$  identity matrix. The eigenvalues of  $A(\xi)$  are:  $-a, -a, -\lambda_1(\xi), -\lambda_2(\xi)$  with

$$\lambda_1(\xi) = \frac{1}{2}(a + \Delta), \quad \lambda_2(\xi) = \frac{1}{2}(a - \Delta), \quad \Delta = \sqrt{a^2 - 4|\xi|^2}.$$



## 3D Compressible Euler Equations with Damping

807

The eigenspace corresponding to the eigenvalue  $-a$  is the subspace of vectors  $(0, \eta)$  with  $\eta \in \mathbb{R}^3$  and  $\xi \cdot \eta = 0$ . The vector  $h = (i\lambda_2, \xi)^\top$  is an eigenvector for the eigenvalue  $-\lambda_1$ . Define the orthonormal set:

$$u_1 = (0, \eta_1)^\top, \quad u_2 = (0, \eta_2)^\top, \quad u_3 = h/|h|,$$

where  $\eta_1, \eta_2, \xi$  are mutually orthogonal row vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ . Then choose  $u_4 \in \mathbb{R}^4$  so as to form an orthonormal basis  $\{u_j\}_{j=1}^4$  in  $\mathbb{R}^4$ . Let  $R(\xi)$  be the unitary matrix whose columns are  $u_1, \dots, u_4$ . Then  $A(\xi)R(\xi) = R(\xi)B(\xi)$ , where

$$B(\xi) = \begin{bmatrix} -a & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -a & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -\lambda_1 & z \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -\lambda_2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \text{and}$$

$$z = \begin{cases} -a, & \text{if } a^2 - 4|\xi|^2 < 0, \\ -2\lambda_1, & \text{if } a^2 - 4|\xi|^2 \geq 0. \end{cases}$$

We find that

$$\hat{T}(t) = \begin{bmatrix} e^{-at} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-at} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & e^{-\lambda_1 t} & \frac{e^{-\lambda_1 t} - e^{-\lambda_2 t}}{\lambda_2 - \lambda_1} z \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & e^{-\lambda_2 t} \end{bmatrix},$$

satisfies  $\hat{T}'(t) = B(\xi)\hat{T}(t)$  and  $\hat{T}(0) = I$ , so  $\hat{T}(t) = \exp(tB(\xi))$ . It follows that

$$\hat{S}(t) \equiv \exp(tA(\xi)) = R(\xi)\exp(tB(\xi))R^*(\xi) = R(\xi)\hat{T}(t)R^*(\xi).$$

In conclusion, the solution of the linear system (6.1) with initial data  $U_0$  is  $S(t)U_0$ , where  $S(t) = \mathcal{F}^{-1}\hat{S}(t)\mathcal{F}$  and  $\mathcal{F}$  is the Fourier transform.

**Lemma 6.1.** Given  $U_0 \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^3) \cap H^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$ , we have the estimates:

$$|S(t)U_0|_\infty \leq C((1+t)^{-3/2}\|U_0\|_{L^1} + e^{-\beta t}\|\nabla^2 U_0\|), \quad (6.2a)$$

$$\|\nabla^k S(t)U_0\| \leq C\left((1+t)^{-3/4-k/2}\|U_0\|_{L^1} + e^{-\beta t}\|\nabla^k U_0\|\right), k = 0, 1, \quad (6.2b)$$

where the constants  $C$  and  $\beta$  depend only on  $a$ .

**Proof.** If  $a^2 - 4|\xi|^2 \geq 0$ , then

$$\frac{e^{-\lambda_1 t} - e^{-\lambda_2 t}}{\lambda_2 - \lambda_1} = e^{-a/2t} \frac{\sinh(t\Delta/2)}{\Delta/2}.$$



For  $0 \leq \Delta < a/2$ , this is bounded by  $Cte^{-at/4} \leq Ce^{-at/8}$ . For  $a/2 \leq \Delta \leq a$ , we have the bound  $Ce^{-t|\xi|^2/a}$ . On the other hand, if  $a^2 - 4|\xi|^2 < 0$ , then

$$\frac{e^{-\lambda_1 t} - e^{-\lambda_2 t}}{\lambda_2 - \lambda_1} = e^{-a/2t} \frac{\sin(t|\Delta|/2)}{|\Delta|/2},$$

and this is bounded by  $Cte^{-at/2} \leq Ce^{-at/4}$ . Since  $z$  is uniformly bounded, the off-diagonal element of  $\hat{T}(t)$  is bounded by,

$$\begin{cases} Ce^{-t|\xi|^2/a}, & \text{if } |\xi| < \alpha \equiv \sqrt{3}a/4, \\ Ce^{-a/4t}, & \text{if } |\xi| > \alpha. \end{cases} \quad (6.3)$$

A similar bound holds for the diagonal elements. Thus the operator  $\hat{S}(t)$  is bounded by the expressions in Eq. (6.3). Now we have, for some  $\beta > 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} |S(t)U_0|_\infty &\leq \|\hat{S}(t)\hat{U}_0\|_{L^1} \leq C \int_{|\xi| < \alpha} e^{-t|\xi|^2/a} |\hat{U}_0| d\xi + C \int_{|\xi| > \alpha} e^{-\beta t} |\hat{U}_0| d\xi \\ &\leq C|\hat{U}_0|_\infty \int_{|\xi| < \alpha} e^{-t|\xi|^2/a} d\xi + Ce^{-\beta t} \left( \int_{|\xi| > \alpha} |\xi|^{-4} d\xi \right)^{1/2} \\ &\quad \times \left( \int_{|\xi| > \alpha} |\xi|^4 |\hat{U}_0|^2 d\xi \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq C(1+t)^{-3/2} \|U_0\|_{L^1} + Ce^{-\beta t} \|\nabla^2 U_0\|. \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla^k S(t)U_0\|^2 &= \|\xi^k \hat{S}(t)\hat{U}_0\|^2 \\ &\leq C \int_{|\xi| < \alpha} |\xi|^{2k} e^{-2t|\xi|^2} |\hat{U}_0|^2 d\xi + C \int_{|\xi| > \alpha} e^{-2\beta t} |\xi|^{2k} |\hat{U}_0|^2 d\xi \\ &\leq C|\hat{U}_0|_\infty^2 \int_{|\xi| < \alpha} |\xi|^{2k} e^{-2t|\xi|^2} d\xi + Ce^{-2\beta t} \int_{|\xi| > \alpha} |\xi|^{2k} |\hat{U}_0|^2 d\xi \\ &\leq C(1+t)^{-(3/2)-k} \|U_0\|_{L^1}^2 + Ce^{-2\beta t} \|\nabla^k U_0\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

The proof of Lemma 6.1 is complete.  $\square$

Suppose that  $U_0 \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^3) \cap H^3(\mathbb{R}^3)$  and  $E[U](0) < \delta$  with  $\delta$  sufficiently small. The nonlinear problems (2.1)–(2.2) has a unique global solution  $U(x, t)$  as constructed in Theorem 5.2. Define the quantities

$$\begin{aligned} L_\infty(t) &= \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} (1+s)^{3/2} |U(\cdot, s)|_\infty, \\ L_0(t) &= \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} (1+s)^{3/4} \|U(\cdot, s)\|, \end{aligned}$$

**3D Compressible Euler Equations with Damping****809**

$$L_1(t) = \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} (1+s)^{5/4} \|\nabla U(\cdot, s)\|,$$

$$\mathcal{E}(t) = \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} \|U(\cdot, s)\|_{H^3}.$$

**Lemma 6.2.** *Suppose that  $\|U_0\|_{L^1} + \|U_0\|_{H^3} < \delta$ . Then*

$$L_\infty(t) + L_0(t) + L_1(t) \leq C(\delta + L_0(t)L_1(t) + L_\infty(t)\mathcal{E}(t)). \quad (6.4)$$

**Proof.** Using the Duhamel principle, we write

$$U(x, t) = S(t)U_0(x) + \int_0^t S(t-s)G(U, \nabla U)(x, s) ds, \quad (6.5)$$

where  $G(U, \nabla U) = (-v \cdot \nabla u - ((\gamma - 1)/2)u \nabla \cdot v, -v \cdot \nabla v - ((\gamma - 1)/2)u \nabla u)^\top$  stands for the nonlinear terms in Eq. (2.1). For  $0 \leq s \leq t$ , we have, using the Sobolev inequality,

$$\|G(U, \nabla U)(\cdot, s)\|_{L^1} \leq \|U(\cdot, s)\| \|\nabla U(\cdot, s)\| \leq L_0(t)L_1(t)(1+s)^{-2}, \quad (6.6a)$$

$$\|G(U, \nabla U)(\cdot, s)\| \leq L_\infty(t)\mathcal{E}(t)(1+s)^{-3/2}, \quad (6.6b)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla G(U, \nabla U)(\cdot, s)\| &\leq |U(\cdot, s)|_\infty \|\nabla^2 U(\cdot, s)\| + \|\nabla U(\cdot, s)\|_{L^4}^2 \\ &\leq C|U(\cdot, s)|_\infty \|\nabla^2 U(\cdot, s)\| \\ &\leq CL_\infty(t)\mathcal{E}(t)(1+s)^{-(3/2)}, \end{aligned} \quad (6.6c)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla^2 G(U, \nabla U)(\cdot, s)\| &\leq |U(\cdot, s)|_\infty \|\nabla^3 U(\cdot, s)\| + \|\nabla U \nabla^2 U(\cdot, s)\| \\ &\leq C|U(\cdot, s)|_\infty \|\nabla^3 U(\cdot, s)\| \\ &\leq CL_\infty(t)\mathcal{E}(t)(1+s)^{-(3/2)}. \end{aligned} \quad (6.6d)$$

Now from Eqs. (6.5), (6.2a), and (6.6a), (6.6d), we have

$$\begin{aligned} |U(\cdot, t)|_\infty &\leq C(1+t)^{-(3/2)}\delta + C \int_0^t (1+t-s)^{-(3/2)} \|G(U(\cdot, s))\|_{L^1} ds \\ &\quad + C \int_0^t e^{-(t-s)} \|\nabla^2 G(U(\cdot, s))\| ds \\ &\leq C(1+t)^{-(3/2)}\delta + CL_0(t)L_1(t) \int_0^t (1+t-s)^{-(3/2)}(1+s)^{-2} ds \\ &\quad + CL_\infty(t)\mathcal{E}(t) \int_0^t e^{-(t-s)}(1+s)^{-(3/2)} ds. \end{aligned}$$

Subdividing these integrals at  $s = t/2$ , simple estimates bound them by  $(1+t)^{-(3/2)}$ , and so

$$|U(\cdot, t)|_\infty \leq C(1+t)^{-(3/2)}(\delta + L_0(t)L_1(t) + L_\infty(t)\mathcal{E}(t)).$$

It follows that  $L_\infty(t)$  is bounded by the right-hand side of Eq. (6.4).



Going back to Eq. (6.5), the corresponding bound for  $L_0(t)$  is proved using Eqs. (6.2b), (6.6a), (6.6b), as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \|U(\cdot, t)\| &\leq C(1+t)^{-(3/4)}\delta + C \int_0^t (1+t-s)^{-(3/4)} \|G(U, \nabla U)(\cdot, s)\|_{L^1} ds \\ &\quad + C \int_0^t e^{-(t-s)} \|G(U, \nabla U)(\cdot, s)\| ds \\ &\leq C(1+t)^{-(3/4)}\delta + CL_0(t)L_1(t) \int_0^t (1+t-s)^{-(3/4)}(1+s)^{-2} ds \\ &\quad + CL_\infty(t)\mathcal{E}(t) \int_0^t e^{-(t-s)}(1+s)^{-(3/2)} ds \\ &\leq C(1+t)^{-(3/4)}(\delta + L_0(t)L_1(t) + L_\infty(t)\mathcal{E}(t)). \end{aligned}$$

A similar argument using Eq. (6.6a), (6.6c) yields the bound for  $L_1(t)$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 6.1.** *Suppose  $\|U_0\|_{L^1} + \|U_0\|_{H^3} < \delta$ , with  $\delta$  sufficiently small. Then the quantities  $L_\infty(t)$ ,  $L_0(t)$ ,  $L_1(t)$  remain bounded for all time, and so the following decay estimates hold:*

$$\begin{aligned} |U(t)|_\infty &\leq C(1+t)^{-(3/2)}, \quad \|U(t)\| \leq C(1+t)^{-(3/4)}, \\ \|\nabla U(t)\| &\leq C(1+t)^{-(5/4)}. \end{aligned} \quad (6.7)$$

**Proof.** Set  $Q(t) = L_\infty(t) + L_0(t) + L_1(t)$ . It follows from Lemma 6.2 and the smallness of  $\mathcal{E}(t)$  that  $Q(t) \leq C\delta + CQ(t)^2$ . Now if  $\delta < 1/4C^2$ , the function  $f(x) = C\delta - x + Cx^2$  has positive roots  $0 < r_1 < r_2$ . By Lemma 6.1,  $Q(0) < C\delta < r_1$ . But since  $f(Q(t)) \geq 0$  and  $Q(t)$  is continuous, we must have  $Q(t) \leq r_1$ , for all  $t > 0$ .  $\square$

We now show the exponential decay of the vorticity.

**Theorem 6.2.** *The vorticity  $\omega = \nabla \times v$  decays exponentially in  $L^2$ .*

**Proof.** In three space dimensions, the curl of the velocity equation in Eq. (2.1) gives

$$\partial_t \omega + a\omega + v \cdot \nabla \omega - \omega \cdot \nabla v = 0.$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int |\omega|^2 dx + a \int |\omega|^2 dx &\leq C \int (|\omega|^2 |\nabla \cdot v| + |\omega \cdot \nabla v \cdot \omega|) dx \\ &\leq C |\nabla v|_\infty \int |\omega|^2 dx. \end{aligned} \quad (6.8)$$

Since  $|\nabla v|_\infty \leq CE[v]^{1/2}$  is small, we have

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int |\omega|^2 dx + \frac{a}{2} \int |\omega|^2 dx \leq 0.$$

This implies the exponential decay of  $\|\omega(\cdot, t)\|$ .  $\square$



7. LOWER BOUNDS AND FORMATION OF SINGULARITIES

In this section, we derive some simple identities and differential inequalities which are used to show that smooth solutions of the Cauchy problem for the damped Euler equation do not decay exponentially in time and may blow up in finite time if the data is sufficiently large.

Fix  $R > 0$  and define

$$\begin{aligned}
 F(t) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} x \cdot \rho v \, dx, & M(t) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\rho - \bar{\rho}) \, dx, \\
 B(t) &= \{|x| < R + \bar{\sigma}t\}, & |B(t)| &= \text{volume of } B(t), \\
 A(t) &= (R + \bar{\sigma}t)^2(M(0) + \bar{\rho}|B(t)|), \\
 D(t) &= 3\bar{\sigma}^2M(0)A(t) - (aA(t)/2)^2, \text{ and} \\
 K(t) &= \begin{cases} -\frac{1}{3} \frac{D(t)}{A(t)^2} t, & \text{if } D(t) > 0 \\ -\frac{1}{3} \frac{D(t)}{A(t)^2} t \left(1 + 2 \frac{(-D(t))^{1/2} t}{A(t)^2}\right), & \text{if } D(t) \leq 0. \end{cases}
 \end{aligned}$$

Then we have the following theorem.

**Theorem 7.1.** *Suppose that  $U_0 = (u_0, v_0) \in H^3$ ,  $\text{supp } U_0 \subset \{|x| \leq R\}$  for some  $R > 0$ , and  $((\gamma - 1)/2)u_0 + \bar{\sigma} > 0$ . Let  $\rho_0 = \sigma^{-1}((\gamma - 1)/2u_0 + \bar{\sigma})$  and  $\bar{\rho} = \sigma^{-1}(\bar{\sigma})$ . Assume that  $M(0) = \int(\rho_0 - \bar{\rho}) \, dx > 0$ .*

*If  $E[U](0)$  is sufficiently small so that, by Theorem 5.2 and Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2, the initial value problems (1.1), (1.2) and (2.1), (2.2) have global classical solutions, then for sufficiently large  $t$*

$$\|u(t)\| \geq C_0(R + \bar{\sigma}t)^{-(3/2)} \tag{7.1a}$$

$$\|(\rho - \bar{\rho})(t)\| \geq C_0(R + \bar{\sigma}t)^{-(3/2)} \tag{7.1b}$$

$$\|v(t)\| \geq C_0(R + \bar{\sigma}t)^{-(5/2)}, \tag{7.1c}$$

for some constant  $C_0 > 0$ .

Suppose that  $(\rho, v)$  is a solution of the Cauchy problems (1.1), (1.2), with  $(\rho - \bar{\rho}, v) \in C([0, \tau], H^3) \cap C^1([0, \tau], H^2)$ , for some  $\tau > 0$ . For any fixed  $T > 0$ , if either

$$F(0) > \exp(aT)A(T)/T, \tag{7.2a}$$

or

$$F(0) > A(T)(T^{-1} + a/2 + K(T)) \tag{7.2b}$$

then  $\tau < T$ .

**Proof.** We will use repeatedly the finite propagation speed given in Lemma 3.2:  $\text{supp}(\rho - \bar{\rho}, v) \subset B(t)$ , as long as the solution is defined.



From the first equation of Eq. (1.1), we see that

$$M(t) = M(0), \quad (7.3a)$$

and likewise using Eq. (1.1) and integration by parts we derive

$$F'(t) + aF(t) = \int (\rho|v|^2 + 3(p(\rho) - p(\bar{\rho}))) dx.$$

By the convexity of  $p = A\rho^\gamma$  for  $\gamma > 1$ , we get

$$\int (p(\rho) - p(\bar{\rho})) dx \geq \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} p'(\bar{\rho})(\rho - \bar{\rho}) dx = \bar{\sigma}^2 M(0). \quad (7.3b)$$

Thus, from Eq. (7.3b), we find that

$$F'(t) + aF(t) \geq \int \rho|v|^2 dx + 3\bar{\sigma}^2 M(0). \quad (7.3c)$$

With these preliminaries, we now prove the first part of the theorem. Thus, we assume that the solution is globally defined. To prove Eq. (7.1b), we use Eq. (7.3a) and the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality:

$$0 < M(0) = \left| \int_{B(t)} (\rho - \bar{\rho}) dx \right| \leq \|\rho - \bar{\rho}\| \left( \frac{4\pi}{3} (R + \bar{\sigma}t)^3 \right)^{1/2}.$$

Next, take  $\rho = \varphi(u) \equiv \sigma^{-1}(((\gamma - 1)/2)u + \bar{\sigma})$  and then  $\bar{\rho} = \varphi(0)$ . Since  $E[U](t)$  is uniformly bounded, the same is true for  $|u(t)|_\infty$ . Therefore, we have that pointwise

$$|\rho - \bar{\rho}| = |\varphi(u) - \varphi(0)| \leq |u| \int_0^1 |\varphi'(su)| ds \leq C|u|,$$

and so

$$\|u\| \geq \frac{1}{C} \|\rho - \bar{\rho}\| \geq C_2 (R + \bar{\sigma}t)^{-(3/2)}.$$

This proves Eq. (7.1a).

Discarding the first term on the right in Eq. (7.3c), simple integration yields the lower bound

$$F(t) \geq [F(0) - 3\bar{\sigma}^2 M(0)/a] \exp(-at) + 3\bar{\sigma}^2 M(0)/a. \quad (7.4a)$$

Using the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality, finite propagation speed, and the fact that  $|\rho| \leq \bar{\rho} + C|u|$  is uniformly bounded, we have that

$$F(t) = \int_{B(t)} x \cdot \rho v dx \leq C(R + \bar{\sigma}t)^{5/2} \left( \int |v|^2 dx \right)^{1/2}. \quad (7.4b)$$

Together, Eqs. (7.4a) and (7.4b) imply Eq. (7.1c).

We now turn to the proof of the second half of the theorem. So now the solution is assumed to exist on the time interval  $[0, \tau)$ . Use the finite propagation speed and

**3D Compressible Euler Equations with Damping****813**

the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality to obtain

$$F(t)^2 = \left( \int_{B(t)} x \cdot \rho v \, dx \right)^2 \leq \left( \int_{B(t)} |x|^2 \rho \, dx \right) \left( \int_{B(t)} \rho |v|^2 \, dx \right). \quad (7.5a)$$

Using Eq. (7.3a) we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B(t)} |x|^2 \rho \, dx &\leq (R + \bar{\sigma}t)^2 \int_{B(t)} \rho \, dx \\ &= (R + \bar{\sigma}t)^2 \left( M(t) + \int_{B(t)} \bar{\rho} \, dx \right) \\ &= (R + \bar{\sigma}t)^2 (M(0) + \bar{\rho}|B(t)|) = A(t). \end{aligned} \quad (7.5b)$$

Combining Eqs. (7.3c), (7.5a), (7.5b), we obtain the following differential inequality:

$$F'(t) \geq \frac{F(t)^2}{A(t)} - aF(t) + 3\bar{\sigma}^2 M(0),$$

which is valid for  $t \in [0, \tau)$ . Since  $A(t)$  is increasing, the inequality

$$F'(t) \geq \frac{F(t)^2}{A(\tau)} - aF(t) + 3\bar{\sigma}^2 M(0), \quad (7.6)$$

holds for  $t \in [0, \tau)$ .

If the condition (7.2a) holds, then using  $M(0) \geq 0$  and setting  $G(t) = e^{at}F(t)$ , one has

$$G'(t) \geq \frac{G(t)^2}{e^{a\tau}A(\tau)}$$

for any  $t \in [0, \tau)$ . Then

$$G(t) \geq \frac{F(0)e^{at}A(\tau)}{e^{a\tau}A(\tau) - F(0)t}.$$

Thus  $\tau < T$ .

Suppose that the weaker condition (7.2b) holds. For simplicity we write  $A = A(\tau)$  and  $D = D(\tau)$ . Completing the square in Eq. (7.6) and letting  $H(t) = F(t) - aA/2$ , we obtain a simpler differential inequality for  $H(t)$ :

$$H'(t) \geq \frac{1}{A}(H(t)^2 + D)$$



for  $t \in [0, \tau)$ . In order that  $H(t)$  is increasing we require that  $H(0)^2 + D > 0$  which is implied by Eq. (7.2b). Now let  $\ell^2 = |D|$ . Then

$$H'(t) \geq \begin{cases} \frac{1}{A}(H(t)^2 + \ell^2), & \text{if } D > 0 \\ \frac{1}{A}(H(t)^2 - \ell^2), & \text{if } D \leq 0. \end{cases}$$

Integrating from 0 to  $\tau$  we get

$$H(0) \leq \begin{cases} \ell \cot(\ell\tau/A), & \text{if } D > 0 \\ \ell \coth(\ell\tau/A), & \text{if } D \leq 0. \end{cases}$$

Next we use the facts that  $\cot x < 1/x - (1/3)x$  for  $x \in (0, \pi)$ , and  $\coth x < 1/x + (1/3)x(1 + 2x)$ . (Note, if  $D > 0$  then  $\ell T/A < \pi$ .) Hence we get that

$$H(0) \leq \begin{cases} \frac{A(\tau)}{\tau} - \frac{1}{3} \frac{\ell^2 \tau}{A(\tau)}, & \text{if } D > 0 \\ \frac{A(\tau)}{\tau} + \frac{1}{3} \frac{\ell^2 \tau}{A(\tau)} \left(1 + 2 \frac{\ell \tau}{A(\tau)}\right), & \text{if } D \leq 0. \end{cases}$$

Remembering that  $H(t) = F(t) - aA/2$  we see that  $\tau < T$ , otherwise assumption (7.2b) is contradicted.

This completes the proof of Theorem 7.1.  $\square$

We remark that conditions (7.2a) and (7.2b) can easily be satisfying by first fixing the radius of the support  $R$ , then choosing the initial density  $\rho_0$ , and finally choosing  $v_0$  so as to make  $F(0)$  sufficiently large.

### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The research of T. C. Sideris and B. Thomases was supported in part by the National Science Foundation. Dehua Wang's research was supported in part by the National Science Foundation and the Office of Naval Research.

### REFERENCES

1. Alinhac S. Temps de vie des solutions régulières des équations d'Euler compressibles axisymétriques en dimension deux. *Invent Math* 1993; 111:627–670.
2. Alinhac S. *Blowup for Nonlinear Hyperbolic Equations*. Boston: Birkhauser, 1995.
3. Batchelor GK. *An Introduction to Fluid Dynamics*. 2nd ed. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1999.
4. Boillat G, Ruggeri T. On the shock structure problem for hyperbolic system of balance laws and convex entropy. *Contin Mech Thermodyn* 1998; 10:285–292.

**3D Compressible Euler Equations with Damping****815**

5. Chemin JY. Remarques sur l'apparition de singularités dans les écoulements Euleriens compressibles. *Commun Math Phys* 1990; 133:323–329.
6. Courant R, Friedrichs KO. *Supersonic Flow and Shock Waves*. New York: Springer-Verlag, 1976.
7. Dafermos CM. Can dissipation prevent the breaking of waves? In: *Transactions of the Twenty-Sixth Conference of Army Mathematicians, 187–198*, ARO Rep. 81, 1, U. S. Army Res. Office, Research Triangle Park, N.C., 1981.
8. Dafermos CM. *Hyperbolic Conservation Laws in Continuum Physics*. Berlin: Springer-Verlag, 2000.
9. Grassin M, Serre D. Existence de solutions globales et régulières aux équations d'Euler pour un gaz parfait isentropique. *C R Acad Sci Paris Ser I* 1997; 325:721–726.
10. Hsiao L. *Quasilinear Hyperbolic Systems and Dissipative Mechanisms*. Singapore: World Scientific Publishing, 1997.
11. Hsiao L, Liu T-P. Convergence to nonlinear diffusion waves for solutions of a system of hyperbolic conservation laws with damping. *Comm Math Phys* 1992; 143:599–605.
12. Hsiao L, Pan R. Initial-boundary value problem for the system of compressible adiabatic flow through porous media. *J Differential Equations* 1999; 159:280–305.
13. Hsiao L, Serre D. Global existence of solutions for the system of compressible adiabatic flow through porous media. *SIAM J Math Anal* 1996; 27:70–77.
14. Huang F, Pan R. Convergence rate for compressible Euler equations with damping and vacuum. preprint.
15. John F. Formation of singularities in one-dimensional nonlinear wave propagation. *Comm Pure Appl Math* 1974; 27:377–405.
16. Kato T. The Cauchy problem for quasi-linear symmetric hyperbolic systems. *Arch Rational Mech Anal* 1975; 58:181–205.
17. Landau LD, Lifshitz EM. *Fluid Mechanics*. 2nd ed. Oxford: Butterworth-Heinemann, 1987.
18. Lax PD. Development of singularities in solutions of nonlinear hyperbolic partial differential equations. *J Math Phys* 1964; 5:611–613.
19. Li T-T. *Global Classical Solutions for Quasilinear Hyperbolic Systems*. Chichester: John Wiley & Sons, Ltd., 1994.
20. Liu T-P. The development of singularities in the nonlinear waves for quasilinear hyperbolic partial differential equations. *J Diff Eqs* 1979; 33:92–111.
21. Majda A. *Compressible fluid flow and systems of conservation laws in several space variables*. Applied Mathematical Sciences. New York: Springer-Verlag, 1984:53.
22. Makino T, Ukai S, Kawashima S. Sur la solution à support compact de equations d'Euler compressible. *Japan J Appl Math* 1986; 3:249–257.
23. Matsumura A, Nishida T. Initial-boundary value problems for the equations of motion of compressible viscous and heat-conductive fluids. *Comm Math Phys* 1983; 89:445–464.
24. Natalini R. Recent results on hyperbolic relaxation problems. In: *Analysis of Systems of Conservation Laws*. (Aachen, 1997), 128–198, Chapman & Hall/CRC Monogr Surv Pure Appl Math, Boca Raton, FL: Chapman & Hall/CRC, 1999:99.



25. Nishida T. Global solution for an initial-boundary value problem of a quasi-linear hyperbolic systems. *Proc Japan Acad* 1968; 44:642–646.
26. Nishida T. *Nonlinear Hyperbolic Equations and Related Topics in Fluid Dynamics*. Publications Mathematiques D'Orsay 78-02, Orsay: Université de Paris-Sud, 1978.
27. Nishihara K. Convergence rates to nonlinear diffusion waves for solutions of system of hyperbolic conservation laws with damping. *J Differential Equations* 1996; 131:171–188.
28. Rammaha MA. Formation of singularities in compressible fluids in two-space dimensions. *Proc Amer Math Soc* 1989; 107:705–714.
29. Sideris TC. Formation of singularities in three-dimensional compressible fluids. *Commun Math Phys* 1985; 101:475–485.
30. Sideris TC. The lifespan of smooth solutions to the three-dimensional compressible Euler equations and the incompressible limit. *Indiana Univ Math J* 1991; 40:535–550.
31. Sideris TC. Delayed singularity formation in 2d compressible flow. *Am J Math* 1997; 119(2):371–422.
32. Wang D. Global solutions and relaxation limits of Euler-Poisson equations. *Z Angew Math Phys* 2001; 52:620–630.
33. Wang W, Yang T. The pointwise estimates of solutions for Euler equations with damping in multi-dimensions. *J Differential Equations* 2001; 173:410–450.
34. Whitham GB. *Linear and Nonlinear Waves*. New York: Wiley-Interscience, 1973.
35. Yong W-A. Basic aspects of hyperbolic relaxation systems. In: *Advances in the Theory of Shock Waves*, 259–305, *Progr Nonlinear Differential Equations Appl*. Boston, MA: Birkhauser, 2001:47.
36. Zeng Y. Gas dynamics in thermal nonequilibrium and general hyperbolic systems with relaxation. *Arch Rational Mech Anal* 1999; 150:225–279.

Received May 2002

Revised December 2002