MATH 1370 - Assignment 2

1. Population Variability

Consider a population of N neurons which on any given trial in a experiment produce the spike count vector $[y_1, \ldots y_N]$. Let $\langle y_i \rangle = \mu$, $\operatorname{Var}(y_i) = v$, and $\operatorname{Cov}(y_i, y_j) = cv$ for all i and j (homogeneous statistics). Here $\langle \cdot \rangle$ denotes expectations across trials of the experiment. The parameter $0 \leq c \leq 1$ controls the amount of trial-to-trial co-variability across the population. Let the population activity drive a downstream target who sums over the population $Y = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} y_i$.

(a) Show that the statistics of Y obey:

$$\langle Y \rangle = \mu,$$

 $\operatorname{Var}(Y) = v \left(\frac{1}{N} + c \frac{N-1}{N} \right).$

- (b) Consider the spike counts from a population of N+1 independent Poisson random variables, labeled x_1, \ldots, x_N, x_c . Let the x_i 's have identical statistics with intensity $\lambda = 100$ Hz and let x_c have intensity 5 Hz. Let each trial last for T=1s. Construct a population of N correlated Poisson random variables with the mapping $y_i = x_i + x_c$. Determine μ , v, and c for the y_i 's (you may use the results proved or stated in class about Poisson processes).
- (c) Simulate the above process for 500 trials and compute Var(Y) ¹. Plot your numerical estimate of Var(Y) against the theoretical prediction for $N = 1 \dots 200$.

2. Synaptic balance and fluctuation driven activity

In this question you will explore how the variability of mixed excitatory and inhibitory synaptic inputs translates to the variability of the neural response.

(a) Consider a mixed excitatory-inhibitory synaptic drive where the excitatory and inhibitory synaptic current is given by $I_E(t) = \bar{a}_E x_E(t)$ and $I_I(t) = \bar{a}_I x_I(t)$. A

¹You may use the MATLAB command poissrnd to generate the random variables.

reasonable model for the time course of a single synaptic input is a difference of exponential terms:

$$x(t) = \left[e^{-\frac{t-\hat{t}}{\tau_1}} - e^{-\frac{t-\hat{t}}{\tau_2}} \right] \theta(t - \hat{t}),$$

where $\tau_1 > \tau_2$, $\theta(x)$ is a Heaviside function, and \hat{t} is the time of a presynaptic spike. Show that a convenient differential equation treatment for this twotimescale synaptic current is given by:

$$\frac{dx}{dt} = y,$$

$$\frac{dy}{dt} = -\frac{x}{\tau_1 \tau_2} - \left(\frac{1}{\tau_1} + \frac{1}{\tau_2}\right) y + \left(\frac{1}{\tau_2} - \frac{1}{\tau_1}\right) \sum_i \delta(t - t_i).$$

and initial conditions x(0) = y(0) = 0. Here t_i is the time of the i^{th} presynaptic

Let the sequences $\{t_{iE}\}_i$ and $\{t_{iI}\}_i$ be Poisson point process with distinct firing rates λ_E and λ_I . Find the mathematical expression relating $a_E > 0$, $a_I < 0$, $\lambda_E > 0$, and $\lambda_I > 0$ such that the mean² total current $\langle I(t) \rangle_t = \langle I_E(t) + I_I(t) \rangle_t = 0$, i.e is 'balanced'3. Use the MATLAB file EIF_Stochastic_Synapse.m to simulate I(t)with $a_E = 1\mu A/cm^2$, $\lambda_E = \lambda_I = 500$ Hz, and a_I such that I is balanced. Let $\tau_{1E}=4\mathrm{ms},\, \tau_{2E}=0.4\mathrm{ms},\, \tau_{1I}=6\mathrm{ms},\, \mathrm{and}\,\, \tau_{2I}=1.75\mathrm{ms}.$ Use your simulation to verify that $\langle I \rangle_t \approx 0$.

(b) Consider the exponential integrate and fire neuron model⁴ with current based synapses:

$$C\frac{dV}{dt} = -g_L(V - V_L) + \phi(V) + I_E(t) + I_I(t),$$

$$I_E(t) = a_E x_E(t),$$

$$I_I(t) = a_I x_I(t),$$

where $\phi(V) = g_L \Delta \exp\left(\frac{V - V_T}{\Delta}\right)$. Supplement the model dynamics with the spike-reset rule $V(t) = V_{peak}$ implies $V(t^+) = V_{reset}$. Let $C = 1 \mu \text{F/cm}^2$, $g_L = 1 \mu \text{F/cm}^2$

²For a stochastic process we denote the mean as $\langle x(t)\rangle_t = \lim_{T\to\infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T x(t) dt$ ³Hint: For a Poisson process we have $\langle y(t)\rangle_t = \lambda$. For a filtered Poisson process, say through a synapse, $\langle x(t)\rangle_t = \lambda \int_0^\infty x_1(t)dt$ where $x_1(t)$ is the synaptic response to a single input at time 0 (this is called

⁴see Fourcaud-Trocmé N, Hansel D, van Vreeswijk C, Brunel N. How spike generation mechanisms determine the neuronal response to fluctuating inputs. J Neurosci. 2003, 23: 11628-40.

 $0.1 \mathrm{mS/cm}^2$, $V_L = -65$ mV, $V_T = -60$ mV, $V_{reset} = -70$ mV, $V_{peak} = -45$ mV and $\Delta = 2$ mV. Let $x_E(t)$ and $x_I(t)$ be synaptically filtered inputs as in part (b) with $a_E = 0.2 \mu \mathrm{A/cm}^2$, $\lambda_E = 500$ Hz, and set inhibition to zero. Use the MATLAB file EIF_Stochastic_Synapse.m to simulate the above system and plot a 1s realization of V(t) and I(t). Run 1000 1s realizations and report the Fano factor $FF = \frac{Var}{M}$, where $M = \frac{1}{1000} \sum_i n_i$ and $Var = \frac{1}{1000} \sum_i (n_i - M)^2$, with n_i being the spike count in realization i.

(c) The firing rate for the inhibition free post-synaptic cell in c) should be about 13 Hz. Set $\lambda_E = \lambda_I = 500$ Hz and use the balance relation calculated in b) to determine a_I . This gives an effective line in (a_E, a_I) space. Explore this line to determine the point where the output firing rate from the postsynaptic cell is approximately 13 Hz. For these values of a_E and a_I compare a 1s realization of V(t) and I(t) to that in c). Compute the Fano factor and compare it to that in c).

3. Renewal process

- (a) Consider a renewal process with hazard function $H(t) = \lambda \Theta(t \tau_{abs})$. Here $\tau_{abs} >$ is the absolute refractory period and $\Theta(x)$ is the Heaviside function. Calculate:
 - i. the inter-spike interval probability density function $\rho(\tau)$.
 - ii. Show that the interspike interval coefficient of variance is

$$CV = \frac{\sqrt{\langle \tau^2 \rangle - \langle \tau \rangle^2}}{\langle \tau \rangle} = \frac{1}{1 + \lambda \tau_{abs}}.$$

- (b) Write a MATLAB code to simulate the renewal process with Hazard function $H(t) = \lambda \left(1 e^{-(t \tau_{\rm abs})/\tau_{\rm rel}}\right) \Theta(t \tau_{\rm abs})$. Here $\tau_{\rm rel} > 0$ is a relative refractory period. Let $\lambda = 30 \, \rm Hz$.
 - i. Numerically estimate $\rho(\tau)$ for $(\tau_{abs}, \tau_{rel}) = (3 \text{ ms}, 1 \text{ ms})$ and (3 ms, 10 ms).
 - ii. Numerically estimate the CV as a function of $\tau_{\rm rel}$ over (0,20ms) with $\tau_{\rm abs}=3{\rm ms}$.
 - iii. Numerically estimate the CV as a function of τ_{abs} over (0,20ms) with $\tau_{rel} = 3ms$.