

Firing rates & spike time precision

The link to membrane characteristics

Bard Ermentrout

University of Pittsburgh

July 2002

Outline

- Many results on spike time precision: theoretical, *in vitro*, and *in vivo*

Outline

- Many results on spike time precision: theoretical, *in vitro*, and *in vivo*
- With constant stimuli & noise, precision is low;

Outline

- Many results on spike time precision: theoretical, *in vitro*, and *in vivo*
- With constant stimuli & noise, precision is low;
- Rapidly varying stimuli can be very precise

Outline

- Many results on spike time precision: theoretical, *in vitro*, and *in vivo*
- With constant stimuli & noise, precision is low;
- Rapidly varying stimuli can be very precise
- Precision is tied to background level of excitation

Outline

- Many results on spike time precision: theoretical, *in vitro*, and *in vivo*
- With constant stimuli & noise, precision is low;
- Rapidly varying stimuli can be very precise
- Precision is tied to background level of excitation
- This can be related to the PRC by treating the neuron as a generalized oscillator

Neurons code via rate & timing

- What is the relationship between rate and timing?

Neurons code via rate & timing

- What is the relationship between rate and timing?
- Rate seems to matter at high spike rates

Neurons code via rate & timing

- What is the relationship between rate and timing?
- Rate seems to matter at high spike rates
- Timing may be more important at low rates

Theoretical results

- Shadlen et al elegantly show that presence of input correlations destroys rate codes at low frequencies

Theoretical results

- Shadlen et al elegantly show that presence of input correlations destroys rate codes at low frequencies
- Many have shown timing carries information
 - de Ruyter *et al* work on fly lobular plate
 - Reich *et al* Primary visual cortex

Theoretical results

- Shadlen et al elegantly show that presence of input correlations destroys rate codes at low frequencies
- Many have shown timing carries information
 - de Ruyter *et al* work on fly lobular plate
 - Reich *et al* Primary visual cortex
- *In vitro* Mainen & Sejnowski show cortical neurons respond reliably to fast stimuli

How does the neuron multiplex?

- Slowly changing inputs are coded with rate code and fast inputs with spike timing

How does the neuron multiplex?

- Slowly changing inputs are coded with rate code and fast inputs with spike timing
- The two cannot be separate

How does the neuron multiplex?

- Slowly changing inputs are coded with rate code and fast inputs with spike timing
- The two cannot be separate
- Can we quantify this interaction?

How does the neuron multiplex?

- Slowly changing inputs are coded with rate code and fast inputs with spike timing
- The two cannot be separate
- Can we quantify this interaction?
- **Strategy:** Use dynamics of action potential initiation to study the role of frequency on spike timing

Canonical models

- Most cortical neurons are type 1
 - All or none action potentials
 - Arbitrarily low frequencies
 - Square root (instantaneous) or linear (steady state) F-I curve

Canonical models

- Most cortical neurons are type 1
 - All or none action potentials
 - Arbitrarily low frequencies
 - Square root (instantaneous) or linear (steady state) F-I curve
- E & K, I & H show the form:

$$\frac{d\theta}{dt} = 1 - \cos \theta + (1 + \cos \theta)(\beta + I(t))$$

Canonical models


- Most cortical neurons are type 1
 - All or none action potentials
 - Arbitrarily low frequencies
 - Square root (instantaneous) or linear (steady state) F-I curve
- E & K, I & H show the form:

$$\frac{d\theta}{dt} = 1 - \cos \theta + (1 + \cos \theta)(\beta + I(t))$$


- Ermentrout *et al* extended to include SFA:

$$I(t) = I_0(t) - g_m z \quad \frac{dz}{dt} = f(\theta)(1 - z) - z/\gamma$$


Intuitive picture



With adaptation




Low SFA



High SFA


Precision

- Background noise plus baseline depolarization plus stimulus.
- Measure spike time histogram over many repeated trials




Jitter

- ... is a diffusion process when the cell is oscillating



- ... but not when bias is low



Generic behavior

- What determines the temporal sensitivity?

Generic behavior

- What determines the temporal sensitivity?
- Can we understand this precision from the dynamics of excitability?

Generic behavior

- What determines the temporal sensitivity?
- Can we understand this precision from the dynamics of excitability?
- Use the theta model with adaptation – all class I models are equivalent.

The Phase-response curve

- A convenient measure of neural response for oscillators

The Phase-response curve

- A convenient measure of neural response for oscillators
- Tells how timing of inputs affect the time of next spike

The Phase-response curve

- A convenient measure of neural response for oscillators
- Tells how timing of inputs affect the time of next spike
- Easily computed for models and for experiments

Application to coding

- Stimulus consists of a slow DC bias



Application to coding

- Stimulus consists of a slow DC bias
- plus phasic terms from inputs (fast and synchronous)



Application to coding

- Stimulus consists of a slow DC bias
- plus phasic terms from inputs (fast and synchronous)
- Thus PRC informs us when the neuron will fire



Experiment & theory



Firing rate & sensitivity



Firing rate & sensitivity



- At low frequencies - very sensitive
- With much adaptation, a coincidence detector
- At high frequencies - low sensitivity; “integrator”

Consequences

- At low firing rates, inputs have a greater effect on spike timing than they do at high rates

Consequences

- At low firing rates, inputs have a greater effect on spike timing than they do at high rates
- The effect of SFA on the PRC can enhance the ability of coupled cells to synchronize

Consequences

- At low firing rates, inputs have a greater effect on spike timing than they do at high rates
- The effect of SFA on the PRC can enhance the ability of coupled cells to synchronize
- Many neuromodulators affect SFA
 - Dopamine & ACh decrease K_m
 - Should decrease synchrony at lower frequencies by decreasing skew of PRC

Acknowledgments

- Boris Gutkin
- Alex Reyes
- National Science Foundation
- National Institutes of Health